

Exhibit 1

Deposition Transcript of Lily Cheung

In the Matter Of:

In Re - Fieldwood Energy

LILY CHEUNG

June 04, 2021



1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION In re: : Chapter 11 : : FIELDWOOD ENERGY L.L.C., : Case No. 20-33948 (MI) et al., : : : Debtors. : (Jointly Administered) * * * * * REMOTE AND VIDEOTAPED ORAL DEPOSITION OF LILY W. CHEUNG June 4, 2021 * * * * * REMOTE ORAL DEPOSITION OF LILY W. CHEUNG, produced as a witness and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on June 4, 2021, from 11:09 a.m. until 1:33 p.m. CDT, before Suzanne Kelly, Registered Diplomat Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, reported by stenographic method with all participants appearing remotely from separate locations pursuant to emergency orders related to the COVID-19 crisis and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. JOB NO.: 2021-796445	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	APPEARANCES (Continued) FOR THE HCCI INTERNATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY: Brad C. Knapp, Esq. LOCKE LORD, L.L.P. 601 Poydras Street Suite 2660 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 504.558.5210 bknapp@lockelord.com Philip G. Eisenberg, Esq. LOCKE LORD, L.L.P. JPMorgan Chase Tower 600 Travis Suite 2800 Houston, Texas 77002 713.226.1304 peisenberg@lockelord.com FOR LEGACY APACHE CORPORATION: Robin Russell, Esq. HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH, L.L.P. 600 Travis Street Suite 4200 Houston, Texas 77002 713.220.4086 rrussell@huntonak.com FOR RLI INSURANCE COMPANY: Jonathan S. Ord, Esq. KREBS FARLEY & DRY 400 Poydras Street Suite 2500 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 504.299.3590 jord@krebsfarley.com
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	APPEARANCES FOR THE DEBTORS: Paul R. Genender, Esq. -and- Erin M. Choi, Esq. -and- Ronald Miller, Esq. WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, L.L.P. 200 Crescent Court Suite 300 Dallas, Texas 75201 214.746.7877 paul.genender@weil.com erin.choi@weil.com ron.miller@weil.com Alfredo R. Pérez, Esq. -and- Clifford W. Carlson, Esq. WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, L.L.P. 700 Louisiana Street Suite 1700 Houston, Texas 77002 713.546.5000 alfredo.perez@weil.com clifford.carlson@weil.com Jessica Liou, Esq. WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, L.L.P. 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153 212.310.8000 jessica.liou@weil.com	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	APPEARANCES (Continued) FOR ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, BERKLEY INSURANCE COMPANY, EVEREST REINSURANCE COMPANY AND SIRIUS AMERICA INSURANCE COMPANY: Jase Brown, Esq. -and- Darren Grzyb, Esq. -and- Scott Zuber, Esq. CHIESA SHAHINIAN & GIANOMASI, P.C. One Boland Drive West Orange, New Jersey 07052 973.530.2182 jbrown@csglaw.com dgrzyb@csglaw.com szuber@csglaw.com FOR OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS: Kenneth Pasquale, Esq. STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN, L.L.P. 180 Maiden Lane New York, New York 10038 212.806.5562 kpasquale@stroock.com Ayala Hassell, Esq. PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, L.L.P. 440 Louisiana Street Suite 900 Houston, Texas 77002 713.691.9385 ahassell@pszjlaw.com

<p>5</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES (Continued)</p> <p>2 FOR BP:</p> <p>3 Nicole Bakare, Esq.</p> <p>4 -and-</p> <p>5 Craig Duewall, Esq.</p> <p>6 GREENBERG TRAURIG, L.L.P.</p> <p>7 1000 Louisiana Street</p> <p>8 Suite 1700</p> <p>9 Houston, Texas 77002</p> <p>10 713.374.3691</p> <p>11 bakaren@gtlaw.com</p> <p>12 duewallc@gtlaw.com</p> <p>13</p> <p>14 FOR ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY:</p> <p>15 Duane J. Brescia, Esq.</p> <p>16 CLARK HILL, P.L.C.</p> <p>17 720 Brazos Street</p> <p>18 Suite 700</p> <p>19 Austin, Texas 78701</p> <p>20 512.499.3647</p> <p>21 dbrescia@clarkhill.com</p> <p>22</p> <p>23 FOR THE AD HOC GROUP OF FIELDWOOD FIRST LIEN LENDERS:</p> <p>24 Andy T. Parrott, Esq.</p> <p>25 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL, L.L.P.</p> <p>901 15th Street, N.W.</p> <p>Washington, D.C.</p> <p>202.962.9147</p> <p>andy.parrott@davispolk.com</p> <p>OTHER COUNSEL ATTENDING REMOTELY:</p> <p>Devan J. Dal Col, Esq.</p> <p>REED SMITH, L.L.P.</p> <p>2850 N. Harwood Street</p> <p>Suite 1500</p> <p>Dallas, Texas 75201</p> <p>469.680.4280</p> <p>ddalcol@reedsmith.com</p>	<p>7</p> <p>1 INDEX</p> <p>2 PAGE</p> <p>3</p> <p>4 Appearances..... 2</p> <p>5 LILY W. CHEUNG</p> <p>6 Examination by Mr. Genender..... 10</p> <p>7 Reporter's Certificate 107</p> <p>8</p> <p>9 EXHIBITS</p> <p>10 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE</p> <p>11</p> <p>12 Exhibit 1 A copy of an 18-page document 17</p> <p>13 entitled, "FWEI Production</p> <p>14 Forecasts and Abandonment"</p> <p>15</p> <p>16 Exhibit 2 A copy of a four-page document 57</p> <p>17 entitled, "Deposition Notice</p> <p>18 and Subpoena Duces Tecum"</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>
<p>6</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES (Continued)</p> <p>2 ALSO PRESENT:</p> <p>3 Mr. Raghib Page, Document Technician</p> <p>4 Ms. Rachel Mimms</p> <p>5 Mr. Garrett Galloway</p> <p>6 Mr. G. Roberts</p> <p>7 Mr. Jeremiah Evans</p> <p>8 Mr. Brian Roy</p> <p>9 Ms. Samantha Smith</p> <p>10 Mr. Robert Miller, Esq. (For Philadelphia Indemnity</p> <p>11 Insurance Company)</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p>8</p> <p>1 PROCEEDINGS</p> <p>2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We are</p> <p>3 now on the record. Today's date is June 4th,</p> <p>4 2021 and the time is 11:09 a.m. Central Standard</p> <p>5 Time.</p> <p>6 This is the Video Deposition of</p> <p>7 Lily Cheung in the matter of in re: Fieldwood</p> <p>8 Energy, L.L.C., et al, filed in the United States</p> <p>9 Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of</p> <p>10 Texas, Houston Division; Case Number 20-33948</p> <p>11 (MI.)</p> <p>12 This deposition is taking place via</p> <p>13 web videoconference with all participants</p> <p>14 attending remotely due to the COVID pandemic.</p> <p>15 My name is Raghib Page. I will be</p> <p>16 the monitor today representing Lexitas.</p> <p>17 Would the counsel in the conference</p> <p>18 please identify yourself and say whom you are</p> <p>19 representing beginning with the questioning</p> <p>20 attorney?</p> <p>21 MR. GENENDER: Paul Genender, Weil,</p> <p>22 Gotshal & Manges for the Debtors.</p> <p>23 MR. KNAPP: Brad Knapp with Locke</p> <p>24 Lord on behalf of HCCI International Insurance</p> <p>25 Company, P.L.C. I'll be defending the</p>

<p>9</p> <p>1 deposition. I believe remaining counsel will be 2 making their appearances by e-mail given the 3 number of participants. 4 MR. GENENDER: Brad, any objection 5 by you will be good for the other parties so 6 people aren't going to be making multiple 7 objections unnecessarily; right? 8 MR. KNAPP: I will be the only 9 objector as far as I'm aware. 10 MR. GENENDER: Very good. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Our Court 12 Reporter today is Dawna Suzanne Kelly 13 representing Lexitas, as well. 14 The Court Reporter will now swear 15 in the witness. 16 THE COURT REPORTER: If you would 17 please raise your right hand, I'll administer the 18 witness's oath to you. 19 Do you solemnly swear or affirm 20 that the testimony which you give in this case 21 will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing 22 but the truth, so help you God? 23 THE WITNESS: I do. 24 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 25</p>	<p>11</p> <p>1 my client. 2 Q. Did you testify in Court? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Have you ever served as an expert 5 witness before? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Let me go through a few ground rules to 8 make this run as smoothly as possible. 9 If you don't hear a question that I 10 asked you, will you let me know? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. If you don't understand a question I 13 asked you, will you let me know? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. If you don't let me know, am I safe to 16 assume you have both heard and understood my 17 question? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Great. You understand you're under oath 20 as if you're in court? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Do you have -- and where are you 23 physically located? 24 A. I'm in Houston, Texas in my office. 25 Q. Is anyone in the room with you?</p>
<p>10</p> <p>1 LILY W. CHEUNG, 2 having sworn to testify the truth, the whole 3 truth, and nothing but the truth testifies on the 4 witness's oath as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. GENENDER: 7 Q. Would you please state your full name 8 for the record? 9 A. Lily Wengton Cheung. 10 Q. Have you given a deposition before? 11 A. I have. 12 Q. On how many occasion occasions? 13 A. One. 14 Q. When was that? 15 A. Gosh. Within the last 10 years, but I 16 can't recall the exact date. 17 Q. Do you recall the case? 18 A. Yes. It was -- I don't recall the full 19 case name. It was on behalf of one of my clients 20 at the time. 21 Q. Were -- did -- were you serving as an 22 expert witness? 23 A. As a -- I believe I was a fact witness. 24 Q. Okay. What -- in what context? 25 A. I had done the third-party reserves for</p>	<p>12</p> <p>1 A. No. 2 Q. What is your -- are you at a desk or a 3 conference table? 4 A. I'm at a desk. 5 Q. And what's in front of you? 6 A. A camera and just office supplies and 7 some drinks. 8 Q. Okay. I'll resist the urge to ask you 9 which drinks, but do you have any -- any papers 10 recording this case in front of you? 11 A. I do not. 12 Q. Do you have a hardcopy of your report? 13 A. I do. It's behind me. 14 Q. Okay. When we get to that, we'll mark 15 it as an exhibit. I'm okay with you looking at 16 it as long as you represent to me it's exactly 17 what your report is. 18 A. Uh-huh. 19 Q. If that's easier for you than looking at 20 it, I perfectly -- I don't want you to feel 21 constrained to whatever we show you on the screen 22 with any document. Okay? 23 And I think the report is one that 24 we probably will be going through a bit, as you 25 can imagine. Okay?</p>

<p>13</p> <p>1 A. Sure. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. How are you employed?</p> <p>3 A. Full-time as an engineer.</p> <p>4 Q. What's your position with -- with</p> <p>5 Netherland, Sewell?</p> <p>6 A. Vice President.</p> <p>7 Q. And how long have you been employed at</p> <p>8 Netherland, Sewell?</p> <p>9 A. Since 2007.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And how long have you been a Vice</p> <p>11 President?</p> <p>12 A. Since 2013.</p> <p>13 Q. And was the case that you previously</p> <p>14 testified in, was it U.S. versus Nordlicht, et</p> <p>15 al?</p> <p>16 A. That was -- I was not deposed on that</p> <p>17 case. I had an interview with the DoJ.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Did you provide testimony in that</p> <p>19 case?</p> <p>20 A. I did.</p> <p>21 Q. What is the nature of your testimony in</p> <p>22 that case?</p> <p>23 A. It was just asking me if I provided the</p> <p>24 third-party reserve audit -- audit for my client</p> <p>25 for several years of reporting.</p>	<p>15</p> <p>1 Q. Have you prepared any documents other</p> <p>2 than your report?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. In connection with your expert</p> <p>5 testimony?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>8 And so, you've -- when you refer to</p> <p>9 the Houlihan report in Exhibit O and the -- some</p> <p>10 of the back-up to Exhibit O, those are things you</p> <p>11 reviewed in connection with getting ready for</p> <p>12 today?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Did you make any notes about any of</p> <p>15 those documents?</p> <p>16 A. I did. But I don't have them with me,</p> <p>17 not in front of me.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. When did you make the notes?</p> <p>19 A. Last night when I was flipping through</p> <p>20 the documents.</p> <p>21 Q. And what was the nature of the notes?</p> <p>22 A. Just highlighting keywords and key</p> <p>23 pages.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And where -- where are those --</p> <p>25 where are those notes now?</p>
<p>14</p> <p>1 Q. What did you do to prepare for today's</p> <p>2 deposition?</p> <p>3 A. I had a quick conversation with Brad</p> <p>4 last -- yesterday evening.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And how long did y'all speak?</p> <p>6 A. Maybe half an hour.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Did you review any documents that</p> <p>8 refreshed your recollection on anything?</p> <p>9 A. I did. I've looked at my own documents</p> <p>10 and a handful of the other documents that I had</p> <p>11 referenced in my own document.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. When you say "your own document,"</p> <p>13 what do you mean?</p> <p>14 A. My expert report.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Did you look at any documents you</p> <p>16 prepared other than your expert report?</p> <p>17 A. Yes. I reviewed the Houlihan Lokey</p> <p>18 expert report. I quickly went over the Exhibit O</p> <p>19 and, also, the back-up that fed into Exhibit O.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. So I want to clarify because I</p> <p>21 actually asked you a different question than</p> <p>22 that. I want to make sure we're clear.</p> <p>23 Did you review any documents that</p> <p>24 you prepared other than your report?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p>	<p>16</p> <p>1 A. It's on a Post-It note behind me on my</p> <p>2 desk.</p> <p>3 Q. In the room you're in now?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Can you grab it? So exciting.</p> <p>6 A. Do you want me to have them in front of</p> <p>7 me?</p> <p>8 Q. Sure. I mean my next -- out of pure</p> <p>9 curiosity because I love Post-It notes, I was</p> <p>10 going to ask you what size Post-It note but it</p> <p>11 looks like it was one of those larger ones.</p> <p>12 A. (Demonstrates to camera.)</p> <p>13 Q. There you go. Can you read what you</p> <p>14 wrote?</p> <p>15 A. I wrote, "As of" -- this is in reference</p> <p>16 to the reserves report. "As is," you know, un --</p> <p>17 unchanged, "PDP plus PDSI is 23,000 barrels, PDNP</p> <p>18 is 6.8" which equates to 30,000 barrels a day.</p> <p>19 And I also referenced how much</p> <p>20 capital the company had in their reserve report</p> <p>21 for Year 1 for PDNP which was 123 million.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Have you read me everything on</p> <p>23 the -- on your note?</p> <p>24 A. Underneath I have my expectations, which</p> <p>25 I just said, "19,000 for PDP plus PDSI plus 3.7</p>

Lily Cheung - June 04, 2021

<p>17</p> <p>1 for PDNP equating to 23 for the first year of 2 2021."</p> <p>3 Q. And are those calculations you made? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. We'll get into your report. 6 Do you have any work papers that 7 support your report? 8 A. Not in front of me or behind me. It's 9 been digital. 10 Q. Okay. Okay. Is -- so there's an Excel 11 that supports the work you did that's reflected 12 in your report? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Okay. Can you let's -- we're going to 15 mark your report as "Exhibit 1." I want to get 16 to -- to it, Page 4 of it. If you don't mind. 17 A. Can I pull my copy, my hardcopy? 18 Q. You sure may. Yes. 19 Do you see -- and do you have Page 20 4 in front of you and it's also on the screen? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And for the record, your report has been 23 marked as "Exhibit 1" for -- to your deposition. 24 Okay? 25 A. Yes.</p>	<p>19</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And what's the basis of that 2 understanding? 3 A. That's -- that's just how I understood 4 the question. 5 Q. Did you -- did you -- you said, 6 "request." I also want to make sure we're clear 7 that that would include Rule 26? 8 A. Can you clarify that? 9 Q. Did you rely on -- on your counsel to 10 determine what should be provided to us in 11 connection with your expert materials that were 12 produced on May 24th? 13 A. They told me to list out all the 14 documents that I reviewed that was provided to us 15 by Fieldwood and any other public sources. And I 16 have listed those things out on this. 17 Q. Did you -- did use your work -- the 18 Excel that you referred to, did you use it in 19 preparing your report? 20 A. I did and it summarized the -- it's 21 summarized in here. 22 Q. Okay. So looking at Exhibit 1, Page 4, 23 it says, "The following documents, information, 24 and data sources were used in calculating the 25 oil, gas and NGL profile, associated revenue and</p>
<p>18</p> <p>1 Q. Can you show me where on Exhibit 4 your 2 work papers are listed? 3 I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 1, 4 Page 4 of Exhibit 1, your work papers are listed. 5 A. My work papers are not listed. 6 Q. Okay. Have you provided those work 7 papers to your counsel? 8 A. In an -- in an Excel format that was put 9 on PowerPoint. 10 Q. When did you do that? 11 A. It's been several weeks. 12 Q. Okay. Are you aware that has not been 13 provided to us, to the Debtors? 14 A. The -- the results of my work is -- is 15 in this document. 16 Q. But I asked you a different question. 17 Are you aware that your work papers 18 have not been provided to the Debtors? 19 A. I am not aware. 20 Q. Are you aware that the Debtors requested 21 all documents you relied upon which would include 22 your work papers? 23 A. My understanding was the data that I 24 received that I used, not my work product. And 25 my work product was put into this document.</p>	<p>20</p> <p>1 estimation of P & A." 2 Do you see where it says -- where 3 you wrote that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. But your Excel work papers are 6 not listed on items 1 through 24 below that; 7 correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. But you used them to develop your 10 opinions that are reflected in Exhibit 1; right? 11 A. Yes. It's a direct output of the ARIES 12 file which is listed on here. 13 Q. It's a direct output? Your -- your 14 papers -- we don't have the benefit of seeing 15 your work papers as I sit here now. I haven't 16 seen them; right? 17 A. No. 18 Q. I haven't seen how -- your report 19 doesn't reflect how you calculated things you 20 calculated, it might have the answers, but it 21 doesn't show how you calculated them. Does it? 22 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 23 THE WITNESS: No. 24 BY MR. GENENDER: 25 Q. Can you answer the question?</p>

Lily Cheung - June 04, 2021

<p style="text-align: right;">21</p> <p>1 A. No. The back-up to my results are not</p> <p>2 in here.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And have you -- did you have the</p> <p>4 benefit of seeing the back-up to Exhibit O?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. The financial projections?</p> <p>7 A. Yes. I reviewed it.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Would you have been able to</p> <p>9 analyze Exhibit O without the back-up?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Do you think it's fair for the Debtors</p> <p>12 to have to analyze your report without your</p> <p>13 back-up, even though you had the back-up to</p> <p>14 Exhibit O in analyzing it?</p> <p>15 A. I think it's fair. That my results came</p> <p>16 from directly what they provided me in their own</p> <p>17 database and in their own documents.</p> <p>18 Q. We'll get into -- we'll get into that.</p> <p>19 And -- and ultimately, obviously, whether it's</p> <p>20 fair or not is not for you or me to decide.</p> <p>21 We'll get into that as we go further into your</p> <p>22 opinions.</p> <p>23 You were retained in the early part</p> <p>24 of December 2020; is that right?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">23</p> <p>1 W. Cheung," and then it has the case name.</p> <p>2 A. Essentially as soon as we got the</p> <p>3 year-end reserves report and the database. I</p> <p>4 think that was sometime in April.</p> <p>5 Q. April -- when in April?</p> <p>6 A. I would have to -- I would have to check</p> <p>7 my notes to see when we got that database.</p> <p>8 Q. So you started working on Exhibit 1</p> <p>9 sometime in April of 2021?</p> <p>10 A. Not -- okay. Let me clarify. I did not</p> <p>11 start working on the actual documentation but</p> <p>12 started to get familiar with the -- the data that</p> <p>13 we received to see what we got and how it could</p> <p>14 be used.</p> <p>15 Q. Did you start -- and you had a phone</p> <p>16 call with representatives of Fieldwood. Didn't</p> <p>17 you?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Do you recall the date of that call?</p> <p>20 A. I want to say April -- April -- sometime</p> <p>21 mid April.</p> <p>22 Q. April 21st, 2021, sound right?</p> <p>23 A. It sounds about when it might have</p> <p>24 happened. I can't confirm exactly.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you recall who you spoke with from</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">22</p> <p>1 Q. What were you retained to do?</p> <p>2 A. To provide engineering consulting to</p> <p>3 the -- the team of lawyers that were representing</p> <p>4 the sureties.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Who -- who contacted you?</p> <p>6 A. Brad Knapp.</p> <p>7 Q. Had you worked with Mr. Knapp before?</p> <p>8 A. I have.</p> <p>9 Q. In what context?</p> <p>10 A. He does some of the documentation, the</p> <p>11 legal documentation for our firm.</p> <p>12 Q. The -- when was it determined you were</p> <p>13 going to provide an expert opinion in this case?</p> <p>14 A. Initially, it was partial -- part of the</p> <p>15 kind of the scope of that it could happen.</p> <p>16 In the earlier part of the</p> <p>17 engagement, it was mostly just consulting on the</p> <p>18 dataflow that was coming in and what they were</p> <p>19 receiving. So I couldn't give you a date.</p> <p>20 Q. When did you start working on Exhibit 1?</p> <p>21 A. Can you remind what Exhibit 1 is?</p> <p>22 That's my document; right? My expert --</p> <p>23 Q. Well, yes. It's your report that was</p> <p>24 entitled "FWEI Production Forecast and</p> <p>25 Abandonment May 24, 2021, Expert Report of Lily</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">24</p> <p>1 Fieldwood?</p> <p>2 A. Mr. Dean.</p> <p>3 Q. Anyone else?</p> <p>4 A. I think he was the main person on that</p> <p>5 call.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you ask questions during that call?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And were there any questions that you</p> <p>9 asked that were not answered?</p> <p>10 A. I don't recall any specific questions</p> <p>11 that were not answered.</p> <p>12 Q. So to the best of your recollection, all</p> <p>13 of your questions were answered by Fieldwood to</p> <p>14 your satisfaction?</p> <p>15 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Some questions were</p> <p>17 answered but probably not to the level of detail</p> <p>18 I would have liked.</p> <p>19 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>20 Q. Did you take notes during that call?</p> <p>21 A. I did.</p> <p>22 Q. Did those notes form a basis for any of</p> <p>23 the opinions reflected in Exhibit 1 of your</p> <p>24 report?</p> <p>25 A. No. A lot of it was just through the</p>

<p>25</p> <p>1 conversation that I remember. So the notes, I 2 really didn't have to refer back to my notes too 3 much. 4 Q. Did you rely on anything you learned 5 during that April 21, 2021 call in preparing any 6 of your opinions -- 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. -- reflected in Exhibit 1? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. But you didn't list that call on Page 4 11 of your report; did you? 12 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 13 THE WITNESS: I didn't. 14 BY MR. GENENDER: 15 Q. Should you have? 16 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 17 THE WITNESS: I mean it's -- it was 18 useful information. I did list his position 19 where he repeated a number of this that we spoke 20 during that call. 21 BY MR. GENENDER: 22 Q. Yeah. But that's not what I asked you. 23 Did you reference on here that you 24 had a call on April 21 with the Debtors and asked 25 questions and relied on that information in</p>	<p>27</p> <p>1 you're referring to, Mr. Hanson's April 2 20 -- April 21st report? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Okay. How did you use Mr. Hanson's 5 report in preparing your opinions? If at all? 6 A. I reviewed what -- what assumptions he 7 used, which documents he used. Some of the 8 values he used in his evaluation. 9 Q. Did you rely on any specific information 10 in his report in preparing your report or 11 arriving at your opinions? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. What information did you rely on? 14 A. There was a per-day rate of oil and gas 15 production that he referenced that did not tie to 16 what I understood was a current production rate 17 for the company. 18 Q. Okay. So how did you rely upon that? 19 A. On his reports overstating the rate? 20 Q. Is that your opinion, that his report 21 overstated the rate? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Where is that reflected in your -- where 24 is that reflected in your report? 25 A. I don't come out straight and say that</p>
<p>26</p> <p>1 preparing your opinions? 2 A. I did not. 3 Q. You referenced earlier that you looked 4 at the Houlihan report I guess last night. Which 5 report did you look at? 6 A. I've only seen one report from Houlihan 7 Lokey one that I have received would be his 8 expert report. 9 Q. Who is -- who is "he" that you're 10 referring to? 11 A. J.P. Hanson. 12 Q. J.P. as in "Paul" Hanson? 13 A. J.P. 14 Q. Okay. Do you recall the date of 15 Mr. Hanson's report? 16 A. I do not. 17 Q. Did you review his rebuttal report? 18 A. I have not seen that. 19 Q. You understand that Mr. Hanson's expert 20 report is dated April 21, 2021? 21 A. That sounds about right. That's kind of 22 when I received the report. 23 Q. Okay. And you list that on Page 4 of 24 your report as -- you said Houlihan Lokey 25 valuation expert report in Item 23. Is that what</p>	<p>28</p> <p>1 the Houlihan Lokey report is overstated, but I do 2 point out the data that was used by Houlihan 3 Lokey in their evaluation also overstates the 4 production rates. 5 Q. Can you show me where that's reflected 6 in your report, please? 7 A. It's on Page 10. 8 Q. Let me get there. Can you show me where 9 on Page 10 it references Houlihan Lokey on Mr. 10 Hanson -- or his report? 11 A. It doesn't. It references Exhibit O. 12 Q. Okay. My question was: What aspect of 13 Mr. Hanson's report from April 21 did you rely on 14 arriving at your opinions, and you pointed me to 15 Page 10; right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. Page 10 doesn't reference Houlihan 18 Lokey, Mr. Hanson or his report; correct? 19 A. It does not. 20 Q. And do you know who prepared Exhibit O 21 that is referenced on Page 10? 22 A. I believe it was Fieldwood management. 23 Q. Let me go back to my original question: 24 Were there any aspects of Mr. Hanson's report 25 that you relied on arriving at your opinions?</p>

<p>29</p> <p>1 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: I saw the documents</p> <p>3 that he had relied on and I saw a reference to</p> <p>4 his assumptions of the documents that he relied</p> <p>5 on in the disclosure statement and what I wanted</p> <p>6 to understand was should it have been relied on,</p> <p>7 on this data? Should this data have been used</p> <p>8 as -- as provided by the company?</p> <p>9 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>10 Q. What data?</p> <p>11 A. The Exhibit O.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Let me approach this a little bit</p> <p>13 differently.</p> <p>14 Exhibit 1 reflects your entire</p> <p>15 report, all of the opinions that you're offering</p> <p>16 in this case; right?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. You don't have any additional opinions.</p> <p>19 Do you?</p> <p>20 A. That was out -- that was not outside the</p> <p>21 scope.</p> <p>22 Q. Say again?</p> <p>23 A. Not outside the scope of this expert</p> <p>24 report.</p> <p>25 Q. So just to be -- make sure you and I are</p>	<p>31</p> <p>1 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: I believed he had</p> <p>3 reviewed the company plan, the five-year</p> <p>4 forecast.</p> <p>5 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>6 Q. So where -- which one of these opinions</p> <p>7 relates to Mr. Hanson's reliance on the company's</p> <p>8 business plan?</p> <p>9 A. I think the first bullet point that</p> <p>10 references the company plan model is a piece of</p> <p>11 data that he also used.</p> <p>12 MR. GENENDER: Okay. I'm going to</p> <p>13 object as non-responsive because I didn't ask you</p> <p>14 that.</p> <p>15 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>16 Q. I said which one of these opinions</p> <p>17 references Mr. Hanson's reliance on the business</p> <p>18 plan?</p> <p>19 A. None of these.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Thank you. Thank you.</p> <p>21 I understand that your report</p> <p>22 relates to Exhibit O, the business plan. I get</p> <p>23 that. Okay? I'm not fussing about that.</p> <p>24 I just -- I just didn't see any</p> <p>25 reference in your report to any of Mr. Hanson's</p>
<p>30</p> <p>1 not talking past each other, Exhibit 1, your</p> <p>2 report, contains all opinions that you intend to</p> <p>3 offer in this case?</p> <p>4 A. Correct.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And those opinions are reflected</p> <p>6 on Page 6 of your report under "Conclusion."</p> <p>7 Right?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. And those four conclusions, and we will</p> <p>10 go into them in some detail throughout the</p> <p>11 deposition, but those four conclusions don't</p> <p>12 mention Mr. Hanson's report. Do they?</p> <p>13 A. It does not.</p> <p>14 Q. It doesn't mention any of Mr. Hanson's</p> <p>15 opinions either?</p> <p>16 A. It does not.</p> <p>17 Q. It doesn't mention any information he</p> <p>18 considered or relied upon. Does it?</p> <p>19 A. If mentions some of the same data that</p> <p>20 he reviewed for his opinion but does not state</p> <p>21 exactly that I -- that I'm referencing</p> <p>22 Mr. Hanson.</p> <p>23 Q. Well, it might -- it doesn't refer to</p> <p>24 any information that Mr. Hanson, himself, relied</p> <p>25 on; right?</p>	<p>32</p> <p>1 opinions. And it sounds like you're agreeing</p> <p>2 with me that your report doesn't reference his</p> <p>3 opinions.</p> <p>4 A. Not directly.</p> <p>5 Q. How does it indirectly reference his</p> <p>6 opinions?</p> <p>7 A. I think it references similar data or</p> <p>8 the same data that he had reviewed.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. But that wouldn't be one of his</p> <p>10 opinions. Would it?</p> <p>11 That would be that you all might</p> <p>12 have looked at the same underlying data. He</p> <p>13 reaches an opinion. You reach an opinion. But</p> <p>14 you're not really critiquing his opinions. Are</p> <p>15 you?</p> <p>16 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: In my opinion, if he</p> <p>18 is relying on a piece of data that I think is not</p> <p>19 as accurate as it should -- could be, that</p> <p>20 indirectly implies that, he -- if he has taken it</p> <p>21 at face value that I disagree with his opinion</p> <p>22 that it's based upon that same data.</p> <p>23 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>24 Q. Can you show me where in your report</p> <p>25 that's reflected what you just said?</p>

Lily Cheung - June 04, 2021

<p style="text-align: right;">33</p> <p>1 A. It doesn't state that.</p> <p>2 Q. Thank you. So it's not one in your</p> <p>3 opinions in this case. Is it?</p> <p>4 A. Not directly.</p> <p>5 Q. Well, I don't want to get into a debate</p> <p>6 on directly or indirectly but your conclusions in</p> <p>7 this case are on Page 6 of your report; right?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And what you said about if Mr. Hanson</p> <p>10 relied upon something, and you disagree with his</p> <p>11 reliance on it, that's not one of your</p> <p>12 conclusions? Is it?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. Thank you so much.</p> <p>15 So we -- I want to go back to Page</p> <p>16 4 of your report. Who prepared the list that is</p> <p>17 Page 4? Documents and Data Considered.</p> <p>18 A. I did.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And -- and -- and we identified</p> <p>20 that your Excel worksheets and work files are not</p> <p>21 included. We identified that there is not a</p> <p>22 reference to your conversation with Fieldwood</p> <p>23 execs.</p> <p>24 Anything else that as you sit here</p> <p>25 today, was reviewed or considered or used that's</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">35</p> <p>1 A. I had not put together this document. A</p> <p>2 lot of this work is outside of PowerPoint and</p> <p>3 different other softwares.</p> <p>4 Q. So --</p> <p>5 A. Took some time to summarize it.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. So is your point that the</p> <p>7 conclusions were all reached, it took you from</p> <p>8 mid April -- early to mid April to May 24th to</p> <p>9 get it into publication form?</p> <p>10 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>11 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>12 Q. Is that what you're saying?</p> <p>13 A. Not the full time. It took some time to</p> <p>14 work through the data that we were provided. It</p> <p>15 takes some time to generate the opinion based on</p> <p>16 that data and then it takes some time to form it</p> <p>17 into a presentable format like this.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. I thought I heard you say that</p> <p>19 the conclusions, themselves were preliminarily</p> <p>20 arrived at in early April, finally arrived at</p> <p>21 about a week after which would have been early to</p> <p>22 mid April. Is that right?</p> <p>23 A. Thereabouts.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. In other words, to be a little</p> <p>25 bit more precise when I'm saying "your opinions"</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">34</p> <p>1 not listed on Exhibit 4? On Page 4 of Exhibit</p> <p>2 1 -- excuse me.</p> <p>3 A. Not that I can think of.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. And you agree with me that of the</p> <p>5 24 items listed on Page 4 of Exhibit 1, you</p> <p>6 didn't prepare any of those; right? Those are</p> <p>7 all items prepared by others; right?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So when did you actually start</p> <p>10 working on Exhibit 1?</p> <p>11 A. Early April.</p> <p>12 Q. When did you finish? When did you</p> <p>13 reach -- when did you reach any of your</p> <p>14 preliminary conclusions?</p> <p>15 A. Early April.</p> <p>16 Q. When did you reach your final</p> <p>17 conclusions?</p> <p>18 A. Maybe a week or so from that.</p> <p>19 Double-checking my work.</p> <p>20 Q. A week or so from early April?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Do you have an explanation for</p> <p>23 why Exhibit 1 wasn't produced until May 24th,</p> <p>24 2021, if you had reached your final conclusions</p> <p>25 in early to mid April?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">36</p> <p>1 like on Page 6 of your report, those four</p> <p>2 conclusions, those were in effect -- those</p> <p>3 haven't changed since early to mid April? Have</p> <p>4 they?</p> <p>5 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: It has not.</p> <p>7 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And I want to be fair to you, Ms.</p> <p>9 Cheung in the sense that Mr. Dane was deposed on</p> <p>10 May 13th. And you reference in your second</p> <p>11 opinion. You actually reference some of his</p> <p>12 deposition testimony. So, I'm not trying to</p> <p>13 trick you. Okay?</p> <p>14 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>15 Q. Of course, you couldn't have by early to</p> <p>16 mid April relied upon deposition testimony that</p> <p>17 Mr. Dane didn't give until May 13th. Okay? I</p> <p>18 want to be clear both to you and for the record</p> <p>19 on that.</p> <p>20 So my question -- my question is:</p> <p>21 Did his testimony confirm an opinion you already</p> <p>22 had?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. With that clarification, do you</p> <p>25 feel that the questions I've asked you have been</p>

Lily Cheung - June 04, 2021

<p style="text-align: right;">37</p> <p>1 clear and fair about when you arrived at your 2 opinions? 3 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 BY MR. GENENDER: 6 Q. Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. 7 That's -- that is what I'm trying to be. 8 So the truth is, you had arrived at 9 your opinions and your conclusion reflected on 10 Page 6 of your report prior to Mr. Hanson's 11 report being issued on April 21; right? 12 A. That's a hard question to answer because 13 there is a lot of data that I considered during 14 that whole period of time. I make some initial 15 judgments early on based on the data that we had 16 at the time. 17 There was a constant flow of 18 information in. So I'm constantly considering 19 the new data that's been provided. 20 Q. Okay. But I'm asking -- 21 A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Did 22 I think I got my months mixed up. May. Because 23 May is -- sorry. I'm sorry. My considerations 24 for the conclusions were formed in May, not in 25 April. We received the data in April.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">39</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. What would you do? You'd go look 2 at your e-mails? 3 A. I would look at my files that I saved. 4 Q. But you don't have those files up in 5 front of you now. Do you? 6 A. I don't. 7 Q. So are you asking -- are you asking me 8 if you can go check that? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. I'm okay with you doing that, and I 11 appreciate you asking. 12 A. Okay. We received the database early 13 April. It took about -- 14 Q. Do you have -- do you have -- do you 15 have a date that you're looking? 16 A. April 1st. 17 Q. And you're -- you're pulling that off 18 your screen -- off your computer screen; right? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And -- and just -- just for the record, 21 who -- who physically -- who is the person that 22 sent it to you? 23 A. I believe this was downloaded in the box 24 share file. 25 Q. Okay. Great. That's -- so you had your</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">38</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. So you had said that you had 2 reached your preliminary conclusions in early 3 April and reached your final conclusions about a 4 week after that in early to mid April? 5 Are you changing your testimony? 6 We asked -- I did go through about six or eight 7 or 10 questions on that? 8 A. Yes. I had -- sorry -- my months mixed 9 up. It is May. 10 Q. Okay. Now, just to be clear -- and I'm 11 not -- I don't mean to offend, but is anyone in 12 communication with you during this deposition? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Okay. So that's just something 15 you -- you -- you're correcting yourself? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. So you had the database in early 18 April, and it took you a month to reach your 19 preliminary conclusions. Is that what you are 20 saying? 21 A. Can I confirm when I received the 22 database? 23 Q. How would you do that? 24 A. I sort everything that I received kind 25 of with the date on it.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">40</p> <p>1 hands on it, on April 1st; right? 2 A. Right. 3 Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. 4 I'm going to ask you now, to 5 not -- to no longer look at any screens other 6 than -- other than -- other than, unfortunately, 7 having to look at me. Okay? 8 Thank you for doing that. I 9 appreciate you tracking that down. 10 So you had the -- you had the 11 database, the ARIES database, on April 1st. I 12 under -- I understood from your prior testimony, 13 what you had said was you reached your 14 preliminary conclusions in early April and your 15 final conclusions about a week after that so I 16 kept saying "early to mid April." Is that 17 accurate? 18 A. No. I want to -- I want to correct 19 that. It should have been May. 20 Q. Okay. And why? How were you off by a 21 full month? 22 A. In my -- in my memory. I thought we 23 received the database later in April. And it was 24 taking some time to work through it. 25 Q. Okay. So when was it that you</p>

Lily Cheung - June 04, 2021

<p style="text-align: right;">41</p> <p>1 reached your preliminary conclusions? In early</p> <p>2 May?</p> <p>3 A. I would say probably the -- toward the</p> <p>4 end of April, we started receiving more data.</p> <p>5 But probably my final conclusions or even</p> <p>6 getting -- my preliminary conclusions, probably</p> <p>7 early May.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And then, when did you -- when</p> <p>9 did those become final?</p> <p>10 A. Shortly thereafter. Maybe two weeks, a</p> <p>11 week or so after that.</p> <p>12 Q. So by May 10th, were your opinions</p> <p>13 final?</p> <p>14 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: I would say I was</p> <p>16 pretty close to a final opinion.</p> <p>17 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>18 Q. When -- when was your reported drafted?</p> <p>19 A. A week prior to the 24th of May.</p> <p>20 Q. Who drafted the report?</p> <p>21 A. I did.</p> <p>22 Q. Did you have any help?</p> <p>23 A. I put the -- I put all the pages</p> <p>24 together and I shared it with my counsel.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Anyone else at your firm help</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">43</p> <p>1 A. Right.</p> <p>2 Q. And do you have an estimate for how many</p> <p>3 hours as you sit here?</p> <p>4 A. I do not. I work on other things at the</p> <p>5 same time. So, I couldn't tell you how that</p> <p>6 broke down for the -- for April and May.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Do you work on other matters</p> <p>8 where the company at issue is in -- in</p> <p>9 bankruptcy?</p> <p>10 A. Personally, no.</p> <p>11 Q. Yeah. So this is the first time you've</p> <p>12 worked on a restructuring matter. Would that be</p> <p>13 a fair statement?</p> <p>14 A. Can you clarify? When you say "worked</p> <p>15 on"? Can you clarify?</p> <p>16 Q. Fieldwood's in -- Fieldwood's in Chapter</p> <p>17 11; right?</p> <p>18 A. Right.</p> <p>19 Q. Have you done analysis before of -- any</p> <p>20 expert analysis before of any company that is in</p> <p>21 Chapter 11?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. And this is -- well, I thought I</p> <p>24 understood, but correct me. This is -- is this</p> <p>25 the first time you're being proffered as an</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">42</p> <p>1 you?</p> <p>2 A. I have an analyst that she checks my</p> <p>3 grammar.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Now, is that someone that helps</p> <p>5 you on the -- on the -- on the text, or on the</p> <p>6 actual underlying work?</p> <p>7 A. Both.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Who is that?</p> <p>9 A. Her name is Diana Castillo.</p> <p>10 Q. All right. How much time did you spend</p> <p>11 in connection with the preparation of Exhibit 1?</p> <p>12 A. The actual documentation?</p> <p>13 Q. No. No. The work -- the work, the</p> <p>14 analysis and the preparation of the report, the</p> <p>15 whole thing?</p> <p>16 A. I would say the span of a good month and</p> <p>17 a half.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Do you know how many hours you</p> <p>19 spent?</p> <p>20 A. I would have to go back and look.</p> <p>21 Q. That would be reflected in your</p> <p>22 billings; right?</p> <p>23 A. Right.</p> <p>24 Q. Because you're going to have a record of</p> <p>25 that times four and five dollars an hour; right?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">44</p> <p>1 expert witness in a contested matter?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. All right. Is this the first time</p> <p>4 you're offering any sort of opinion on</p> <p>5 feasibility?</p> <p>6 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>8 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>9 Q. And prior to April of this year, were</p> <p>10 you familiar with the term "feasibility"?</p> <p>11 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Not how it's -- I</p> <p>13 would say, no, not how it's applied there.</p> <p>14 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And how -- how do you understand</p> <p>16 it being applied here?</p> <p>17 A. That the company as it's been laid out</p> <p>18 as Fieldwood 1. That the plan that's going</p> <p>19 forward in the -- in the agreement is a company</p> <p>20 that will be able to stand on its own that is a</p> <p>21 feasible company.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Is that your definition? Is that</p> <p>23 your understanding of what "feasible" means?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And have you reviewed the company's</p>

<p>45</p> <p>1 plan?</p> <p>2 A. I did.</p> <p>3 Q. Prior to reviewing the company's plan,</p> <p>4 had you ever reviewed a Chapter 11 company's plan</p> <p>5 of reorganization before?</p> <p>6 A. Not formally. I've been provided</p> <p>7 documents before from other clients that have</p> <p>8 gone through bankruptcy.</p> <p>9 Q. Have you ever provided an opinion before</p> <p>10 regarding the feasibility of a newly formed oil</p> <p>11 and gas company?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>14 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>15 Q. What was your answer?</p> <p>16 A. "No."</p> <p>17 Q. Have you ever previously rendered an</p> <p>18 opinion, an expert opinion, on the comparative</p> <p>19 reliability of asset retirement obligation</p> <p>20 estimates?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. Have you ever previously rendered an</p> <p>23 expert opinion on re-completion program</p> <p>24 forecasts?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p>	<p>47</p> <p>1 in charge of the day-to-day operations of your</p> <p>2 field.</p> <p>3 You're in charge of estimating the</p> <p>4 reserves for your -- your assets.</p> <p>5 You're in charge of coordinating</p> <p>6 re-completion of work.</p> <p>7 You're in charge of estimating the</p> <p>8 economics associated with the re-completion works</p> <p>9 in addition to drilling at your field. And</p> <p>10 evaluating the economics and the reserves</p> <p>11 associated with the drilling and coordinating the</p> <p>12 facilities and the drilling engineers and the</p> <p>13 operations all into one program for your -- for</p> <p>14 your particular field.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. How long did you work in that</p> <p>16 role at ExxonMobil?</p> <p>17 A. Three years working offshore and one</p> <p>18 year as a surveillance engineer for a field in</p> <p>19 Colorado.</p> <p>20 Q. During your work at ExxonMobil, were you</p> <p>21 ever involved in decommissioning wells?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. Were you ever involved in</p> <p>24 decommissioning platforms, pipelines or other</p> <p>25 assets?</p>
<p>46</p> <p>1 Q. Have you ever previously offered</p> <p>2 opinions on offshore construction and</p> <p>3 decommissioning work? In other words, P & A</p> <p>4 forecasts?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. And is it -- isn't it the case that this</p> <p>7 is the first time you have estimated future</p> <p>8 production forecasts for re-completion projects</p> <p>9 in connection with an oil and gas restructuring.</p> <p>10 Isn't that right?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. You're Licensed Professional Engineer in</p> <p>13 the state of Texas?</p> <p>14 A. I am.</p> <p>15 Q. And you've had that license since 2007.</p> <p>16 Is that right?</p> <p>17 A. 2010.</p> <p>18 Q. 2010. Thank you.</p> <p>19 And you previously have worked as a</p> <p>20 lead reservoir engineer and surveillance engineer</p> <p>21 for ExxonMobil Production Company?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. What were your responsibilities at</p> <p>24 ExxonMobil?</p> <p>25 A. As a reservoir engineer at Exxon, you're</p>	<p>48</p> <p>1 A. Yes. We have looked at part of my asset</p> <p>2 for decommissioning.</p> <p>3 Q. Part -- I didn't hear you. Sorry?</p> <p>4 A. We had reviewed part of my asset that I</p> <p>5 was overseeing for decommissioning.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Now, for your work at Netherland,</p> <p>7 Sewell, have you -- do you prepare estimates of</p> <p>8 reserves in future net revenue? Is that part of</p> <p>9 your job?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And in doing so, do you rely on</p> <p>12 estimates provided by operators?</p> <p>13 A. I do.</p> <p>14 Q. And do you independently verify</p> <p>15 everything that operators provide you when</p> <p>16 you -- when you -- when you prepare estimates?</p> <p>17 A. I review for reasonableness.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. All right. And have you</p> <p>19 reviewed, in connection with your work you've</p> <p>20 done on this case, have you reviewed information</p> <p>21 prepared by Fieldwood for reasonableness?</p> <p>22 A. I have.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And -- and have you reached a</p> <p>24 conclusion as to the reasonableness of the</p> <p>25 information prepared by Fieldwood?</p>

<p>49</p> <p>1 A. Which information specifically?</p> <p>2 Q. Well, any information upon which</p> <p>3 you're -- you're basing an opinion, I should say.</p> <p>4 A. Yeah, I did.</p> <p>5 Q. And what -- and what -- I'm going to ask</p> <p>6 you in connection with your conclusions on Page 6</p> <p>7 but what are -- what are those opinions?</p> <p>8 A. I reviewed the ARIES file to ensure that</p> <p>9 it is a functioning ARIES file and it shows what</p> <p>10 they had reported at year end. That that is a</p> <p>11 file that includes the Fieldwood 1 assets as they</p> <p>12 have broken it out.</p> <p>13 We had reviewed the production that</p> <p>14 was loaded in there and saw the forecasts that</p> <p>15 the company had provided and they've also</p> <p>16 provided their P & A.</p> <p>17 The total estimated costs for</p> <p>18 Fieldwood 1. I reviewed that.</p> <p>19 Q. Did you reach conclusions as to the</p> <p>20 reasonableness of either of those in connection</p> <p>21 with your opinions in this case?</p> <p>22 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: From what I can</p> <p>24 gather without spending -- getting, you know, all</p> <p>25 the data that I would want, I looked at the</p>	<p>51</p> <p>1 reasonable because it was -- it has been audited.</p> <p>2 I did not receive all of the information a</p> <p>3 reserves auditor would want in order to be able</p> <p>4 to say that every piece of assumption in the</p> <p>5 reserves report is reasonable.</p> <p>6 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>7 Q. But you have no basis to -- to opine</p> <p>8 that that information is unreasonable; right?</p> <p>9 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Can you -- can you</p> <p>11 repeat that?</p> <p>12 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>13 Q. Sure. You just said you don't -- you</p> <p>14 basic -- I think I just heard you say that</p> <p>15 your -- you don't have the information that an</p> <p>16 auditor would need to reach a conclusion. Is</p> <p>17 that fair?</p> <p>18 A. I deemed the data received reasonable.</p> <p>19 I have not worked through every nuance of the</p> <p>20 database to deem the entirety of the database</p> <p>21 reasonable.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>23 But you're not saying that the</p> <p>24 entirety of the database in some way, shape, or</p> <p>25 form, is unreasonable?</p>
<p>50</p> <p>1 year-end reserves database and saw that there was</p> <p>2 at least production through year end, which is</p> <p>3 one of the first questions I always ask myself</p> <p>4 is: How recent is this production?</p> <p>5 I did find some -- some pieces that</p> <p>6 were unreasonable that we were able to fill the</p> <p>7 gaps with, with additional information from the</p> <p>8 company.</p> <p>9 In terms of the P & A, you know, I</p> <p>10 quickly looked through public sources to see if</p> <p>11 there was still some platforms where they needed</p> <p>12 to P & A.</p> <p>13 In terms of their -- the dollar</p> <p>14 amount and costs that they estimated, you know,</p> <p>15 it wasn't too far off from what they showcased in</p> <p>16 the reserves model that was audited by their</p> <p>17 third-party auditor so I deemed that reasonable.</p> <p>18 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>19 Q. So what you just said, is it fair to say</p> <p>20 that you deemed those -- those sets of</p> <p>21 information either prepared on -- prepared by or</p> <p>22 relied on by Fieldwood to be either reasonable or</p> <p>23 not unreasonable?</p> <p>24 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: I deemed it</p>	<p>52</p> <p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Are you?</p> <p>3 A. I'm not saying that.</p> <p>4 Q. Thank you so much.</p> <p>5 Have you prepared any other</p> <p>6 documents other than Exhibit 1 in connection with</p> <p>7 your work in this case, leaving aside the Excel</p> <p>8 document that you -- your work papers?</p> <p>9 A. Outside the scope of my expert report?</p> <p>10 Q. Yes.</p> <p>11 A. Mostly to show our team what data we're</p> <p>12 receiving and some opinions of the data flowing</p> <p>13 in.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. So my question is: Have you</p> <p>15 prepared any -- any -- whether exhibits or</p> <p>16 documents other than either Exhibit 1, your</p> <p>17 report, or the Excel work papers that we talked</p> <p>18 about earlier this morning?</p> <p>19 A. As part of an expert testimony?</p> <p>20 Q. Yes.</p> <p>21 A. Just this file.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>23 Your report says that on Page 3,</p> <p>24 second paragraph, it says that, quote, "This</p> <p>25 report has been coordinated by Ms. Cheung."</p>

Lily Cheung - June 04, 2021

<p style="text-align: right;">53</p> <p>1 What does that word "coordinated" 2 mean? That struck me as an interesting word to 3 use. 4 A. That this is the work primarily done by 5 me. I don't do this by myself. Like I 6 mentioned, Diana was my analyst who helped me 7 with the work. 8 Q. So what does "coordinated" mean there? 9 A. I -- I organize the work. 10 Q. Okay. Who did the work? 11 A. Both me and Diana. 12 Q. Okay. Did you have prior drafts of 13 Exhibit 1? 14 A. Yeah. It was preliminary and it was 15 just my work in progress. 16 Q. And -- and just to be clear because I 17 know we've been had some kind of -- you -- you 18 corrected your testimony. What was the date of 19 the first draft of this report? 20 A. I don't -- I don't recall. 21 Q. Do you recall even approximately? 22 A. It was sometime in May. 23 Q. Okay. And did counsel help you write 24 any aspects of this report? 25 A. They had advised on wording and -- but</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">55</p> <p>1 with all of them? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you know -- Ms. Cheung, do you know 4 if that engagement agreement has been produced as 5 part of your materials? 6 A. I do not. 7 Q. Okay. We don't have a record of it. We 8 certainly would -- would request it and think 9 it's been requested, actually. 10 Did you notice any material 11 differences between the -- the mid-year 2020 and 12 year-end 2020 ARIES reserve database? 13 A. I don't recall doing a compare of the 14 two. The material difference would be the 15 production that has rolled off between mid-year 16 and year-end. 17 Q. Did you review the mid-year report? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Did rely on the mid-year report? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Are you able to speak to whether it 22 would be reasonable to rely on the mid-year 23 report as opposed to the year-end 2020 reserve 24 database? 25 A. From my experience doing this type of</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">54</p> <p>1 it was always the scope of the work so they 2 advised kind of on the scope early on. 3 Q. Okay. What was -- what is the scope of 4 your opinion? What is the scope of your work? 5 A. To understand the liability of the data 6 that has been presented and used by both us and, 7 also the other expert witnesses. 8 Q. What other expert witnesses? 9 A. Houlihan Lokey. 10 Q. Okay. But again, you say that's your 11 scope but your report doesn't mention any 12 opinions by Houlihan Lokey; right? 13 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 14 THE WITNESS: Right. The focus was 15 on the -- the data that was used. 16 BY MR. GENENDER: 17 Q. Okay. And let me ask you: When you -- 18 I asked you about interfacing with counsel, 19 which -- which lawyers with the sureties did you 20 personally speak with? 21 A. A number of them. From -- we are 22 engaged with a number of the -- number of lawyers 23 so we have a weekly call and I speak with all of 24 them. 25 Q. Okay. Do you have a written engagement</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">56</p> <p>1 work, the mid-year database is less reliable than 2 year-end report because a lot has happened 3 between those two events. 4 Q. Okay. But you didn't perform an 5 analysis to determine what the actual differences 6 were in whether they were material; did you? 7 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 8 THE WITNESS: We did do a compare 9 early on. I don't recall the exact outcome of 10 that compare. Ultimately, we relied on the 11 year-end. 12 BY MR. GENENDER: 13 Q. Okay. My question was a little bit 14 different. I appreciate your answer. My 15 question was a little bit different. 16 Did you do an analysis to determine 17 whether there were material differences between 18 the mid-year and the year-end? 19 A. We did a compare in-house. I don't 20 recall what the major differences are. 21 Q. Okay. So you're not able to identify 22 any major differences as you sit here right now; 23 right? 24 A. No. 25 Q. So aside from the Excel spreadsheet that</p>

<p>57</p> <p>1 contains your work papers that we talked about 2 earlier, did you prepare any other databases, 3 Excels or anything reflecting the analysis or 4 math you prepared or did to reach your 5 conclusions on Page 6 of your report? 6 A. The work product is all in this report. 7 There is -- there is a lot of just Excel work to 8 come up with that similar to what you have 9 already alluded to, the work papers for the 10 production. 11 There's one additional Excel file 12 that was just on -- on the P & A that was 13 provided to us by Fieldwood where I have 14 annotated whether the field was producing or not. 15 Q. Did you receive the Deposition Notice 16 that you -- for today's deposition? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Do you know there was a request for any 19 documents that haven't previously been produced 20 in that -- in that report? In that -- in that 21 Deposition Notice? 22 A. I did not notice that. 23 Q. Specifically -- and we will mark this as 24 "Exhibit 2" to your deposition. If we can get 25 that pulled up, which we will, the second page</p>	<p>59</p> <p>1 you see that, the first page of it? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And if we can go to the second page of 4 it, please. 5 A. The third -- the third paragraph, "To 6 the extent not previously identified." 7 Do you see that paragraph? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And -- and that's the -- that's the 10 language I just read to you. Isn't it? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Okay. Thank you so much. 13 You're not offering an opinion as 14 to the net asset value of any of the Fieldwood 15 entities. Are you? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you have an understanding as to 18 whether or not Mr. Hanson offers any opinions as 19 to the net asset value of any Fieldwood entities? 20 A. I understand he does. 21 Q. Okay. You are not responding to those 22 opinions? Are you? 23 A. Not -- 24 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 25 BY MR. GENENDER:</p>
<p>58</p> <p>1 states that, "To the extent not previously 2 identified and produced, the witness is hereby 3 commanded to immediately produce to counsel for 4 Debtors all documents upon which she relies in 5 rendering any opinions she intends to offer at 6 the hearing in connection with the hearing or 7 confirmation of the plan and all documents which 8 reflect, support, or relate to any such 9 opinions." 10 Were you aware that that request 11 was made? 12 A. I was aware. I didn't read the -- the 13 request, myself. But I understood that -- the 14 way I understood it was it had requested the data 15 I used. 16 Q. Do you agree that the Excel databases, 17 Excel spreadsheets that you refer to in your work 18 papers relate to your opinions? 19 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 BY MR. GENENDER: 22 Q. Thank you. Hang on one second. 23 Ms. Cheung, I want -- we're going 24 to put up the Deposition Notice that's been 25 marked -- being marked as "Exhibit 2." And can</p>	<p>60</p> <p>1 Q. Excuse me? 2 A. Not to his net asset value calculation. 3 Q. Thank you. So Page 7 of your -- your 4 report, Exhibit 1, I want to call your attention 5 to the language that states, second sentence of 6 the first paragraph, "In our opinion, in the 7 absence of other investigations and substantive 8 testing, the scope of our review does thought 9 enable us to validate the numerous underlying 10 judgments required in the preparation of reserves 11 and resource -- resources estimates, nor does it 12 allow us to fully examine the uncertainty ranges 13 and basic engineering in geologic data." 14 Did I read that correctly? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. I'll show you the first sentence of that 17 paragraph says, "It should not -- should be 18 understood that our review does not constitute a 19 complete reserves study of the assets." Right? 20 A. Right. 21 Q. I just read that paragraph, second 22 sentence, then I read the first sentence, I'm 23 going to ask you a question. 24 What does that mean? 25 A. That I did not perform an independent</p>

<p>61</p> <p>1 evaluation of the total reserves that we 2 reviewed. 3 Q. And that would mean you are not able to 4 contest the underlying judgments that were made 5 in connection with estimating the total reserves; 6 right? 7 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Misleading. 8 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that, 9 please? 10 BY MR. GENENDER: 11 Q. Well, did you -- does that mean -- well, 12 do you contest the underlying judgments that were 13 required in the preparation of the reserves and 14 resource estimates? 15 A. I do not contest it. 16 Q. Thank you. 17 A. I accepted it. 18 Q. Thank you. And that would include 19 reserve estimates related to future production 20 rates. Wouldn't it? 21 A. Right. 22 Q. Thank you. 23 So it would make sense that I keep 24 going back to Page 6, since that's where your 25 conclusions are. So I will do that throughout</p>	<p>63</p> <p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. What do you mean by "before any other 3 adjustments"? 4 A. I did not adjust any of these lease 5 operating cost assumptions the company had made 6 in their forecast or any of the other line items 7 outside of the production. 8 Q. Why did you not do that? 9 A. The scope of my work was just to 10 understand the production forecasts. 11 Q. Okay. So you -- if I can distill it 12 down, do you think that the company's production 13 forecast is overstated for '21 and '22 by what? 14 Five thousand barrels a month? 15 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 16 BY MR. GENENDER: 17 Q. Per day. Per day. Excuse me. 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. All right. You didn't make any 20 adjustment to the costs that might go down if 21 production were 5,000 barrels a day lower than 22 what the company has estimated. Did you? 23 A. I did not. 24 Q. Okay. And that's reflected in your 25 report where you have a circle on Page -- on Page</p>
<p>62</p> <p>1 this. 2 And, look, I also want to say, Ms. 3 Cheung, this is not an endurance contest. We've 4 been going for about an hour and 10 minutes. You 5 can take a break at any point as long as there's 6 not a question pending. I should have said that 7 earlier. Okay? 8 A. Uh-huh. 9 Q. So I'm also happy to keep pressing on, 10 but I want to make sure I'm providing you that 11 courtesy. Okay? 12 A. Yeah. Fine to continue. 13 Q. Thank you so much, appreciate it. 14 Your first conclusion is that 15 Fieldwood's production net forecast is overstated 16 for '21 and '22; right? 17 A. Right. 18 Q. And specifically you state, "Based on 19 the March 25, 2021, strip pricing, utilizing the 20 estimated adjusted company forecast, and before 21 any adjustments, year ending 2021 and 2021 are 22 expected to yield a negative cash flow. Without 23 sufficient cash flow, potential projects will be 24 deferred." 25 I read that correctly?</p>	<p>64</p> <p>1 11 of your report, it has the top -- the top 2 numbers circled, but none of the other numbers 3 circled; right? 4 A. Right. 5 Q. So, if, for example, for '21 and '22, 6 the daily net production that you -- you have 7 adjusted to 23,000 barrels a day and 22,000 8 barrels a day for 20 -- for stub 21 and the rest 9 of 22 instead of -- instead of what the company 10 has in its business plan; right? 11 A. Right. 12 Q. That's your opinion; right? 13 A. It is. 14 Q. All right, sir. But you didn't decrease 15 any of the expenses listed below; did you? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Are you saying that if production were 18 5,000 barrels a day lower than what the company 19 has estimated that the expenses, themselves, 20 would be identical -- 21 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 22 BY MR. GENENDER: 23 Q. -- is that your opinion? 24 A. If production is less by 5,000 a day, it 25 doesn't necessarily mean lease operating costs</p>

65

1 are lower.
2 **Q. I'm asking you about the operating**
3 **expenses listed below on Page 11 of your report**
4 **which is an adjustment to the -- a partial**
5 **adjustment to business plan.**
6 A. Yes.
7 **Q. Direct operating expense, R & M,**
8 **transportation, workover and insurance.**
9 **Are any of those -- will any of**
10 **those go down if production goes down? Yes or**
11 **no?**
12 A. Yes.
13 **Q. You didn't make that calculation?**
14 A. No.
15 **Q. You don't know how much they would go**
16 **down. Do you?**
17 A. No.
18 **Q. You really did part of the analysis.**
19 **Didn't you?**
20 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.
21 BY MR. GENENDER:
22 **Q. In that regard?**
23 A. Yes.
24 **Q. And where you have -- where you show**
25 **un-levered cash flow or levered cash flow being**

66

1 **negative for 2021 and 2022, that's without making**
2 **those adjustments that -- that in terms of**
3 **expenses; correct?**
4 A. Correct.
5 **Q. And you don't know what those**
6 **adjustments of expenses would be because you**
7 **didn't do them; right?**
8 A. Correct.
9 **Q. Thank you.**
10 **What potential projects would need**
11 **to be deferred as reflected in -- as you -- as**
12 **you mentioned in your first opinion?**
13 A. There would be re-completions.
14 **Q. Do you know which ones?**
15 A. Let me re-read my conclusion real quick.
16 **Q. Sure. Of course.**
17 A. The potential projects would be
18 without -- without any capital, without positive
19 cash flow, the potential projects would be
20 anything identified for re-completion the
21 following year.
22 **Q. Let me take a step back, Ms. Cheung.**
23 **You said a moment ago that you were -- the scope**
24 **of what you were asked to do was to understand**
25 **the company's projections -- production**

67

1 **forecasts; right?**
2 A. Yes, sir.
3 **Q. So it's safe to say you were not asked**
4 **to analyze the effect of any potential**
5 **overstatement of production forecasts on the**
6 **performance of the company by looking at the**
7 **expense side. Is that fair?**
8 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Vague.
9 THE WITNESS: I did not look at the
10 lease operating costs, no.
11 BY MR. GENENDER:
12 **Q. Okay. Have you ever analyzed lease**
13 **operating costs?**
14 A. Yes.
15 **Q. So it's something you know how to do;**
16 **right?**
17 A. Correct.
18 **Q. You just didn't do it here?**
19 A. Correct.
20 **Q. Why not?**
21 A. When we look at lease operating costs,
22 there is a lot of -- there's a lot of back and
23 forth with a company and as it was provided to
24 us, there were -- there was no opportunity
25 probably to have a deep conversation about all

68

1 the costs and assumptions so the focus then got
2 shifted back just looking at the production.
3 **Q. Okay. On April 21st, 2021, when you**
4 **were on the phone with Mike Dane, Garrett**
5 **Galloway, John Seeger, from the company, did you**
6 **ask them anything about lease operating costs?**
7 A. I don't recall. I don't remember we
8 talked about that.
9 **Q. Did you ever request a call with the**
10 **company about lease operating costs?**
11 A. No.
12 **Q. Did you ever request information from**
13 **the company about lease operating costs?**
14 A. No.
15 **Q. Have you ever analyzed in your 14 years**
16 **at Netherland, Sewell, lease operating costs**
17 **without getting information from a company?**
18 A. Yes.
19 **Q. You have without getting any information**
20 **from the company?**
21 A. Yeah. I've -- I've worked a number of
22 projects and on at least one of those projects we
23 had to make our own assumptions.
24 **Q. But you didn't even do that here. Did**
25 **you?**

<p>69</p> <p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>3 Your opinion, your first opinion,</p> <p>4 it doesn't account for sources of capital that</p> <p>5 the company would have that are not included in</p> <p>6 the Exhibit O projections; correct?</p> <p>7 A. Correct.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Now, on Page 8 of your report, it</p> <p>9 reflects that you applied the methodology, a</p> <p>10 methodology to the year-end 2020 ARIES database;</p> <p>11 right?</p> <p>12 A. Right.</p> <p>13 Q. What assumptions were incorporated in</p> <p>14 your methodology?</p> <p>15 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: My own assumptions,</p> <p>17 or what data was provided to us?</p> <p>18 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>19 Q. Well, tell me what you did in -- as</p> <p>20 reflected on Page 8 of your report. Where it</p> <p>21 says "Methodology." It says, "Utilizing the</p> <p>22 Fieldwood year-end 2020 ARIES database,"</p> <p>23 Fieldwood -- Fieldwood Energy 17, "and referred</p> <p>24 to below as the Fieldwood Energy reserves --</p> <p>25 Energy 1 reserves."</p>	<p>71</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: He wouldn't.</p> <p>2 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>4 What economic parameter assumptions</p> <p>5 did you incorporate? I'm looking at your</p> <p>6 bullets, the second bullet on Page 8, says,</p> <p>7 "Incorporating various economic parameter</p> <p>8 assumptions."</p> <p>9 A. These are the assumptions that came with</p> <p>10 the database.</p> <p>11 Q. What -- but explain what was the -- what</p> <p>12 were the assumptions. Explain to me.</p> <p>13 A. They would be the -- the prices that was</p> <p>14 loaded in the database, the cost to operate the</p> <p>15 individual fields, the typical things in an ARIES</p> <p>16 file.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So you incorporated various</p> <p>18 economic parameter assumptions and you assume</p> <p>19 some wells will not make the economic threshold</p> <p>20 as modeled but would be, nevertheless, producing.</p> <p>21 Is that right?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. And then you assumed all remaining</p> <p>24 proved developed shut-in cases will migrate to</p> <p>25 production status contributing value. Is that</p>
<p>70</p> <p>1 And you have -- what did you do?</p> <p>2 A. We took the year-end reserves database</p> <p>3 and removed the reserves associated with the</p> <p>4 fields that were not returning to production but</p> <p>5 were included in the year-end database. And we</p> <p>6 timed based on Fieldwood's information, we timed</p> <p>7 certain field production to when they were</p> <p>8 planning on bringing it back online and outputted</p> <p>9 the rates and forecasts based on Fieldwood's</p> <p>10 projections.</p> <p>11 For the behind-pipe work, the</p> <p>12 re-completions, we were limited to the budget</p> <p>13 that they had outlined in Exhibit O. And so we</p> <p>14 had to select behind-pipe projects to represent</p> <p>15 the volumes, the production volumes, associated</p> <p>16 with a reduced capital budget.</p> <p>17 Q. How would we know what timing you used</p> <p>18 or what timing assumptions you used?</p> <p>19 A. You wouldn't.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Let me ask you better question</p> <p>21 than I just asked.</p> <p>22 How would Judge Isgur know what</p> <p>23 timing assumptions you used if he looked at your</p> <p>24 report?</p> <p>25 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p>	<p>72</p> <p>1 right?</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. And then you assume no drilling will</p> <p>4 commence in five years, but you excluded proved</p> <p>5 undeveloped reserves; right?</p> <p>6 A. Right.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you know which -- what are the,</p> <p>8 quote, "some wells that will not make the</p> <p>9 economic threshold"?</p> <p>10 A. Specifically which wells?</p> <p>11 Q. Yes.</p> <p>12 A. I wouldn't be able to list that off the</p> <p>13 top of my head.</p> <p>14 Q. How would you find that out if you</p> <p>15 wanted to know?</p> <p>16 A. You run the ARIES report -- the ARIES</p> <p>17 file. And look at field by field to see if any</p> <p>18 wells are actively producing but is not hitting</p> <p>19 an economic threshold per that reserves report.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Well, you did all of this, these</p> <p>21 calculations, to determine that for 2021 and 2022</p> <p>22 the production estimates should be lower than</p> <p>23 what the company said; right?</p> <p>24 A. What the company said in their five-year</p> <p>25 model, yes.</p>

<p>73</p> <p>1 Q. Yes. Okay. We just don't have the 2 benefit of seeing that math. Do we? 3 A. No. 4 Q. I don't have it; right? 5 A. Right. 6 Q. Are you able to -- you know, would your 7 work papers show which wells didn't make the 8 economic threshold? 9 A. I don't think so. 10 Q. Would your work papers show what the 11 quote remaining PDSI cases were? 12 A. Not specifically. It's outlined in the 13 ARIES file. 14 Q. Your report says that the Fieldwood 15 reserves indicated there were more re-completion 16 projects than the company's budget allowed. 17 Do you recall saying that? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. How many? 20 A. I couldn't tell you the number of 21 projects. But there -- but -- so I can't answer 22 that question. 23 Q. What budget are you referring to? 24 A. What is outlined in Exhibit O. 25 Q. CapEx budget in Exhibit O?</p>	<p>75</p> <p>1 A. No. 2 Q. You mentioned a moment ago that there 3 were, quote, unique costs. Where did you get 4 those? Where -- where did those come from? 5 A. In the ARIES file. 6 Q. Okay. Do you know where in the ARIES 7 file? 8 A. Where in it? 9 Q. Yes. 10 A. Every opportunity has an associated cost 11 within the ARIES file, a specific line item. 12 Q. Okay. So in reference to your report 13 saying that a selection of certain proved 14 developed non-producing cases was made within the 15 re-completion budget, in connection with that 16 statement, did you consult with anyone at 17 Fieldwood about which PDNP cases you should -- 18 you should select? 19 A. Not specifically, but during the call 20 with -- the first call with Michael Dean, he had 21 suggested to use the ARIES file to make a 22 selection. 23 Q. Did you consider the profitability 24 variance between liquid and gas production when 25 choosing between these PD -- PDNP cases?</p>
<p>74</p> <p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Were you considering all re-completion 3 opportunities or just the ones that were 4 scheduled for 2021? 5 A. Just the ones that were scheduled for 6 2021. 7 Q. What cost for re-completion did you use 8 to conclude that the aggregate cost exceeded the 9 company's budget? 10 A. Every re-completion has a unique cost. 11 We selected a number of projects based on a 12 couple parameters to fill that budget as -- as 13 outlined in Exhibit O. 14 Q. Okay. But how do we know which ones you 15 selected? 16 A. You don't. You can't. 17 Q. Do you know which ones you selected? 18 A. I would have to go back to my notes. 19 Q. Okay. Again, the notes we don't have? 20 A. Right. 21 Q. In reaching your conclusion that the 22 re-completions would exceed the company budget, 23 did you consider any alternative source of 24 funding for re-completions outside of the CapEx 25 allocation in Exhibit O?</p>	<p>76</p> <p>1 A. We looked at -- we did not look at oil 2 versus gas but we did look at return. 3 Q. Is it possible that your selection of 4 certain PDNP cases would be different from 5 Fieldwood's real life selection on which PDNP 6 cases to pursue? 7 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 BY MR. GENENDER: 10 Q. Is your -- Page 10 contains your 11 recalculations; right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And again any back-up for this, we don't 14 have. 15 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 16 BY MR. GENENDER: 17 Q. Referring to Page 10. 18 A. No. 19 Q. I want to make sure we're not -- we have 20 a clear record. 21 It's true, isn't it, that we don't 22 have the back-up that shows how you arrived at 23 the conclusion on Page 10; correct? 24 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Misleading. 25 THE WITNESS: Not my documentation,</p>

<p>77</p> <p>1 but I outlined the methodology that can be 2 replicated. 3 BY MR. GENENDER: 4 Q. Okay. But the information from which 5 you made the actual computation, we don't have 6 that. Do we? 7 A. The information that I use came from 8 Fieldwood. 9 Q. Okay. I'm talking about your work 10 papers. You used -- you created work papers to 11 arrive at this conclusion; right? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. And we don't have it. Do we? 14 A. No. 15 Q. I am not misleading you in asking you 16 that question. Am I? 17 MR. KNAPP: Objection. 18 BY MR. GENENDER: 19 Q. You can answer. 20 A. I don't think so. 21 Q. Thank you. Isn't it the case that your 22 work papers show the application of your 23 methodology to the data from the reserve reports; 24 right? 25 A. Yes.</p>	<p>79</p> <p>1 Q. No, drops down to 23,000 in 2025. 2 A. Why it drops? A natural decline of 3 wells and the selection of available behind pipes 4 during that time period. 5 Q. Are you taking into account wells that 6 are going to come back online? 7 A. Yes. Those were -- those are forecasted 8 in here. 9 Q. And do you have an explanation for why 10 you say there would be an identical 5,000 barrel 11 a day drop in production compared to the 12 company's projections in years '21 and '22 but a 13 \$58 million drop in revenue for 2021 and a 37 -- 14 only a \$37 million drop in revenue in 2022? 15 A. The price forecast is changing year on 16 year. 17 Q. Page 10 underneath your chart, it says, 18 "The forecast assumes budgeted capital will be 19 available to fund the completions each year." 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. In -- in each year. Do you see that? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Which forecasts are you referring to 24 there? 25 A. The budget.</p>
<p>78</p> <p>1 Q. Thank you. 2 You didn't do a bottoms up or well 3 by well estimation of production rates for each 4 of the five years; did you? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Your report concludes that the Debtors 7 projection was accurate for 2023; right? 8 A. It got close. Yes. The -- it started 9 to line-up. 10 Q. Yeah. It's -- the difference says 11 "zero." Right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And your conclusion is that the Debtors' 14 projection is underestimated production for 2024 15 and 2025; right? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Do you have an explanation for why you 18 think production would drop from 2024 to 2025? 19 A. Can you clarify that? 20 Q. Well, I'm looking at Page 10 of your 21 report. 22 A. Are you referencing the 26,000 barrels a 23 day in 2024? 24 Q. Yes. 25 A. Dropped down to 2023?</p>	<p>80</p> <p>1 Q. The -- 2 A. I'm sorry. The forecast that I'm 3 referring to is my adjusted company forecast. 4 Q. Okay. And then, the next sentence says, 5 "However, due to the reduction of the 2021 and 6 2022 cash flow, subsequent years internal capital 7 funding may fall short." 8 Do you see that? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. What internal capital funding are you 11 referring to? 12 A. The CapEx budget. 13 Q. Which doesn't take into account external 14 sources; right? 15 A. No. 16 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 17 BY MR. GENENDER: 18 Q. I want to make sure we didn't talk past 19 each other. I said, "Which doesn't take into 20 account external sources?" And you said "no," 21 are you agreeing with me? 22 A. Correct. 23 MR. KNAPP: And I'm objecting to 24 vague. Go ahead. 25 BY MR. GENENDER:</p>

Lily Cheung - June 04, 2021

<p style="text-align: right;">81</p> <p>1 Q. And the internal capital funding that 2 you're referring to, again, doesn't consider 3 expense savings; does it? 4 A. No. 5 Q. It doesn't? I want to make sure again 6 so we have a clear record. You agree that it 7 doesn't include or consider expense savings; 8 right? 9 A. It does not. 10 Q. Thank you. Your report mentions that 11 Mike Dane testified to production rates being 12 below 20,000 per day range as of the date of his 13 testimony; right? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. Do you know what they are today? 16 A. I do not. 17 Q. Is there anything that could increase 18 production from the low 20s, from the low 20,000s 19 per day to 28,000 per day in 2021? 20 A. If they do the re-completion work and 21 bring some of the shut-in fields back online. 22 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether 23 they can do the re-completion work or bring those 24 shut-in fields online? 25 A. My opinion is they can do it, but it</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">83</p> <p>1 Q. Do you know how 28,000 barrels a day 2 compares to production rates before hurricane 3 season? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. How? 6 A. It is less. 7 Q. 28,000 a day is less; right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Do you under -- do you know, did you 10 rely on Mr. Dane's testimony that stated that the 11 28,000 barrel a day projection assumed currently 12 offline wells would be coming back online? 13 A. I believe the low 20s was a quote of 14 what the current rates were when he testified. 15 Q. That's not my question. 16 MR. GENENDER: I'm going to object 17 as non-responsive. 18 BY MR. GENENDER: 19 Q. That's not my question. My question is: 20 Are you aware that the -- that he testified that 21 the 28,000 barrels per day includes the currently 22 offline wells are going to be brought back 23 online? 24 A. I believe that it does include it. 25 Q. And you don't have any basis to disagree</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">82</p> <p>1 will be difficult. 2 Q. Okay. Why do you say it will be 3 difficult? 4 A. We just got into hurricane season. So 5 there is going to be disruptions in the Gulf of 6 Mexico. We're already in June. 7 In order to get to an average of 28 8 for the year, going from a 28,000 barrels a day 9 to 28 on average, you're going to have to over 10 shoot the 28 to average out the rest of the year. 11 Q. Why do you say going from the 20,000 12 average a day? What is your basis for that? 13 A. Or the low 20s, so the current rate as 14 Mike Dane had explained. 15 Q. So that's your sole reliance is Mr. Dane 16 saying low 20s? 17 A. That and the forecast from the reserves 18 report. 19 Q. You understand production rates 20 fluctuate daily? 21 A. They do. 22 Q. Did you evaluate Fieldwood -- Fieldwood 23 1's historical production rates for these assets 24 in reaching your conclusions? 25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">84</p> <p>1 with that; do you? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Thank you. If we can turn to Page 11 of 4 your report, Exhibit 1. And this, again, this is 5 where the only -- the only difference between 6 this document and -- the only changes you made 7 were to the first two lines under "reference." 8 Right? 9 A. Right. 10 Q. And the rest was math? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Why did you change the percent liquids? 13 The second line. 14 A. That's how it calculated out. 15 Q. That was just a math function? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Do you know whether certain operating 18 expenses are tied to offline versus online wells? 19 A. Can you repeat the question? 20 Q. Sure. Do you know whether certain 21 operating expenses are tied to online wells 22 versus offline wells? 23 A. As it's stated in this table? 24 Q. No. No. Just in general. 25 A. Not off the top of my head, no.</p>

<p>85</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Did you review Fieldwood's 2 workbook spreadsheet that showed the field detail 3 variance for operating expenses? 4 A. I did not review -- I did not review it 5 in detail. 6 Q. Okay. So you don't have any basis to 7 disagree with Fieldwood's judgments as to the 8 operating expenses associated with wells that are 9 offline versus wells that are online; is that 10 right? 11 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 12 THE WITNESS: Correct. 13 BY MR. GENENDER: 14 Q. And you didn't factor any of those 15 variances as it relates to operating expenses for 16 online versus offline wells into any of your 17 calculations; did you? 18 A. No. 19 Q. You didn't; right? 20 A. No. I did not. 21 Q. Thank you so much. I'm not trying to be 22 difficult. I just want to make sure that when 23 someone reads this that they -- that you and I 24 are not speaking past each other. So, I 25 appreciate you clarifying that. Okay.</p>	<p>87</p> <p>1 Q. As it relates to capital expenditures, 2 you would agree that Fieldwood 1 has the 3 discretion to adjust that number as required by 4 future conditions; right? 5 A. Sure. 6 Q. It would be reasonable for the company 7 to exercise its judgment in that regard. 8 Wouldn't it? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Is there -- in your mind, is there a 11 difference between a budget and a spending limit? 12 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form, 13 vague. 14 BY MR. GENENDER: 15 Q. As it relates to something like 16 re-completion, for example? 17 MR. KNAPP: Same objection. 18 THE WITNESS: I would assume the 19 company would try to stay within their budget. 20 BY MR. GENENDER: 21 Q. Okay. Do you know what capital sources 22 the company has that are not reflected in Exhibit 23 O, the financial projections? 24 A. I know that they -- I don't know 25 specifically.</p>
<p>86</p> <p>1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. As a general proposition, if a platform 3 is not producing, it would cost less to operate; 4 right? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Your report assumes the Debtors will 7 have fewer wells producing than what they expect 8 due to capital shortfalls. That's your 9 assumption; right? 10 A. Repeat that, please. 11 Q. Sure. Your report assumes the Debtors 12 will have fewer wells producing than what the 13 Debtors expect to, due to what you -- due to what 14 you would call capital shortfalls? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. If that were the case, direct operating 17 costs would also go down; right? 18 A. Not always. 19 Q. But they certainly could. Couldn't 20 they? 21 A. They could. 22 Q. Yeah. And that's something, again, not 23 to belabor it, but you didn't do that analysis; 24 right? 25 A. Right.</p>	<p>88</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I didn't 2 mean to -- 3 A. I just don't know specifically what 4 sources they have. 5 Q. Okay. Regardless of what they are, they 6 were not considered by you in doing your work; 7 right? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Thank you. 10 Do you have an understanding of how 11 long it takes to do a re-completion? 12 A. They are all different. 13 Q. Okay. Is there a range? 14 A. No. 15 Q. That you're aware of? 16 A. It could be a week. It could be several 17 weeks. 18 Q. Okay. So a week is one. Several weeks 19 is what? How many? 20 A. Say, three or four. 21 Q. Okay. Well, the good news we can agree 22 on that today is June 4th. There is still a lot 23 of time left in this year. Isn't there? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. So there is plenty of time for wells to</p>

89

1 be re-completed and come back online this year;
2 right?
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. And create and generate production;
5 right?
6 A. Yes.
7 Q. Once re-completion is finished, the rate
8 of production can increase within a month or two
9 after that; right?
10 A. On initial production or increase the
11 overall production?
12 Q. Increase the overall production.
13 A. It can.
14 Q. Does your report take into account any
15 increase in 2021 production due to
16 re-completions?
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. And where does -- show me where it does
19 that. If you can point me to where in the report
20 it does that.
21 A. The fourth bullet on Page 8. It says
22 about halfway through that sentence: So a
23 selection of certain proof of nonproducing cases
24 were made within the re-completion budget per
25 year based on identified well bore availability."

90

1 Q. Got it. Let me talk about that. If
2 your -- if your -- if you selected too few wells
3 that would be re-completed as compared to what
4 the company could do, that could affect the
5 ultimate production; right?
6 A. Right.
7 Q. Thank you. Thank you.
8 Do you know how many re-completions
9 you are assuming?
10 A. I don't know off the top of my head.
11 Q. Where would that answer be?
12 A. In my work notes.
13 Q. Okay. Again, ones we don't have?
14 A. Right.
15 Q. Thank you.
16 All right. Let's turn to Page 11
17 of your report.
18 What do you understand the trust
19 contribution costs to be that are included on the
20 chart on Page 11?
21 A. I understand there is a trust that they
22 are paying into.
23 Q. Okay. Any other information you know
24 about that?
25 A. No. Not specifically.

91

1 Q. Do you understand that if there were
2 reduced production, the trust contribution costs
3 would be reduced?
4 A. That sounds like it could be something.
5 I don't know the details of the trust
6 contributions.
7 Q. Did you ask anyone about the details of
8 that?
9 A. No.
10 Q. And that, by way of example, that trust
11 contribution number, just like we talked about
12 operating expenses, could affect your overall
13 opinion as to whether the company has free -- has
14 cash flow in 21 and 22. Right?
15 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.
16 THE WITNESS: It could affect it.
17 I don't know to what magnitude.
18 BY MR. GENENDER:
19 Q. Thank you.
20 All right. I want to turn to the
21 second of your four opinions.
22 MR. KNAPP: If we are between
23 opinions, could we take a short break?
24 MR. GENENDER: Absolutely we could.
25 As I said that, I was thinking to myself, someone

92

1 is -- I might be taking this with people much
2 younger than me, but I could use a bathroom
3 break.
4 MR. KNAPP: Okay. Let's -- 10
5 minutes sound good?
6 MR. GENENDER: That's fair. Why
7 don't we come back at 1:00 Central or
8 thereabouts, if that works? Thanks y'all.
9 (Recess taken.)
10 BY MR. GENENDER:
11 Q. Can you can you hear me?
12 A. I can.
13 Q. Okay. Did you review any documents
14 during the break?
15 A. Just e-mails. I had pulled out --
16 Q. Okay. You said e-mails about?
17 A. Other projects.
18 Q. Fair enough. Did you review any
19 documents about any aspect of this case? Or your
20 work?
21 A. I think I opened my engagement letter.
22 Q. Okay.
23 A. Just to review it.
24 Q. Okay. Again, I want to reiterate the
25 request that we get a copy of that along with the

<p>93</p> <p>1 other documents. Did you have a chance to speak 2 with -- did you speak with anyone about your 3 testimony during the break? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Thank you. 6 Your second conclusion is that the 7 use of a type curve oil and gas production 8 forecast in the company model failed to account 9 for re-completion net production and falls short 10 of identifying specific projects. Is that a fair 11 summary? 12 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 13 THE WITNESS: Let me re-read that 14 bullet point. 15 BY MR. GENENDER: 16 Q. Sure. 17 A. Sure. 18 Q. Are you aware that the Fieldwood 1 19 assets include over 281 re-completion 20 opportunities in need of cost estimation? 21 A. In need of cost estimation? 22 Q. Yes. 23 A. So can you clarify what you are asking? 24 Q. Do you know how many re-completion 25 opportunities Fieldwood 1 has?</p>	<p>95</p> <p>1 from your report? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Now, I want to direct you to Page 243 of 4 Mr. Dane's testimony which is within that window 5 that you just referred to. I will put it up on 6 the screen. And this is going to be Page 243, 7 lines -- we will get there. Lines 16 through 25. 8 Okay. Do you see that on the 9 screen? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Do you see where he says: It is 12 very -- when thinking about the different 13 methodologies to be able to incorporate a 14 defensible capital program of re-completion 15 related projects and the associated production, 16 what we thought was most appropriate was to look 17 at our historic budgeting process and how we have 18 in the average performance based on a program of 19 re-completions because the timing of an 20 individual re-completion is very specific to the 21 actual well bore. 22 Did I read that correctly? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And if we continue, he goes on and he 25 says on Page 244, Line 1, "And we got so many</p>
<p>94</p> <p>1 A. I have not counted them. 2 Q. Would you defer to the company on that 3 if they have? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Thank you. 6 Have you ever attempted a 7 re-completion cost estimate for a collection of 8 properties as large as the Fieldwood 1 assets? 9 A. In dollar amounts or in quantity or size 10 of company? 11 Q. However you want to quantify it. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. Which company? 14 A. I have worked so many in the last 14 15 years here. I've worked large ones. I've worked 16 small ones. I couldn't specify, but we have 17 worked some very large projects. 18 Q. Your report says -- references Page 242 19 to 244 of Mr. Dane's deposition in connection 20 with -- it says, "Specific projects have not been 21 identified but rather a type curve based on 22 historic project results was used to estimate 23 future production forecasts associated with 24 annual re-completion programs." 25 Do you -- did I read that correctly</p>	<p>96</p> <p>1 projects and wells and the challenges of 2 forecasting these particular types of capital 3 projects on an individual basis over an extended 4 forecast period such as five years, it was after 5 discussion determined that the most appropriate 6 way to try and represent when a re-completion 7 program would be capable of delivering would be 8 to use the methodology we've used which is 9 consistent with our past budgeting practices." 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Can you -- do you see what the 13 questioner actually said in response? Do you see 14 that, Ms. Cheung? Do you see what Mr. Knapp said 15 in response? 16 He said, "That makes sense." Do 17 you see that? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Thank you. Does that make sense to you? 20 A. No. 21 Q. All right. Now, you don't have more 22 experience estimating Fieldwood 1's historic 23 re-completion budgets than Fieldwood does; right? 24 A. No. 25 Q. And you didn't -- you didn't undertake a</p>

<p>97</p> <p>1 well by well estimation methodology; did you?</p> <p>2 A. Say that again.</p> <p>3 Q. You didn't -- in your report, you did</p> <p>4 not undertake a well by well estimation</p> <p>5 methodology; did you?</p> <p>6 A. We looked at the re-completions well by</p> <p>7 well as it was presented to us in the ARIES file.</p> <p>8 Q. What does it mean to say, "Gulf of</p> <p>9 Mexico oil and gas production forecasting and</p> <p>10 reserves estimation should not be evaluated in a</p> <p>11 statistical manner"?</p> <p>12 Seems like a very broad statement.</p> <p>13 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Was there a question?</p> <p>15 I didn't hear the question.</p> <p>16 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>17 Q. Yeah. So what does that mean, that</p> <p>18 statement by you in the second bullet point of</p> <p>19 your conclusions?</p> <p>20 A. That the nature of the Gulf of Mexico is</p> <p>21 not statistical.</p> <p>22 Q. What does that mean?</p> <p>23 A. You can't look at historic results and</p> <p>24 say your future results will be similar.</p> <p>25 Q. Why not?</p>	<p>99</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. But can you answer my question,</p> <p>2 which is: Is there any literature that you're</p> <p>3 aware of that supports that opinion?</p> <p>4 A. Not that I can put my finger on right</p> <p>5 now.</p> <p>6 Q. And more precisely, there's not any</p> <p>7 literature that supports your opinion that's</p> <p>8 cited in your report; correct?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>11 Your third conclusion is that, "P &</p> <p>12 A amounts forecasted in the Fieldwood 1 company</p> <p>13 model shows planned P & A costs in excess of</p> <p>14 amounts forecasted in Exhibit O of the disclosure</p> <p>15 statement."</p> <p>16 Did I read that correctly?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. And your report states that the</p> <p>19 abandonment liability schedule through</p> <p>20 2025 -- I'm reading on Page 12 from your report,</p> <p>21 to be fair. Page 12 of your report says, "This</p> <p>22 abandonment liability schedule through 2025</p> <p>23 exceeds what has been presented in the Fieldwood</p> <p>24 1 cash flow model, Exhibit O, based on the</p> <p>25 Fieldwood 1 model, Fieldwood, FWE38675, an</p>
<p>98</p> <p>1 A. Every reservoir, every well, every field</p> <p>2 is unique. Every situation that -- every</p> <p>3 re-completion is unique to the next. Every well</p> <p>4 bore is unique to the next. Even within the same</p> <p>5 field, everything is unique. There is not</p> <p>6 statistics.</p> <p>7 Q. Could you -- could you use multiple</p> <p>8 re-completions on historical basis and consider</p> <p>9 them on a given -- a multitude of re-completions</p> <p>10 in different parts and look at all of them</p> <p>11 together based on your historical practices?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. You've never seen that done?</p> <p>14 A. No. Not in the Gulf of Mexico. Not for</p> <p>15 reserves.</p> <p>16 Q. Did you consult any literature in that</p> <p>17 regard?</p> <p>18 A. Not literature, but my 14 years of -- at</p> <p>19 Netherland, Sewell and my years at Exxon, we</p> <p>20 would never use a statistical manner in</p> <p>21 evaluating offshore reserves.</p> <p>22 Q. Now, is there any literature that</p> <p>23 supports your opinion in that regard?</p> <p>24 A. I mean, there are lots of books on</p> <p>25 offshore reserves estimations.</p>	<p>100</p> <p>1 estimated 457 million is scheduled through 2025</p> <p>2 excluding safe-out spending offline lease</p> <p>3 operation -- operating expenses and visitation</p> <p>4 costs."</p> <p>5 Did I read that right?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. What's your understanding of the APA P &</p> <p>8 A scheduled costs in the Fieldwood and the</p> <p>9 FWE38675 model?</p> <p>10 A. That is presented on this page?</p> <p>11 Q. No. That's in the model, itself.</p> <p>12 A. This -- this was a part of the model</p> <p>13 that did not feed into Exhibit O. This, my</p> <p>14 assumption of this schedule that was presented is</p> <p>15 something closer to what actually may need to be</p> <p>16 spent on here.</p> <p>17 Q. Well, this -- this Page 12 of your</p> <p>18 report reflects, has an entry, "APA P & A</p> <p>19 Schedule." Do you see that?</p> <p>20 A. Correct.</p> <p>21 Q. And then entries that add up to 457</p> <p>22 million; right?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. And where did that come from, those</p> <p>25 numbers?</p>

Lily Cheung - June 04, 2021


<p style="text-align: right;">101</p> <p>1 A. From that model, Fieldwood 1 Model 2 38675. 3 Q. Do you know what goes in, what comprises 4 those -- those APA P & A schedule costs? 5 A. Certain fields that the company has 6 forecasted would need to be abandoned during 7 those years. 8 Q. Are you -- do you -- are you aware that 9 Mr. Dane testified that the 175 million costs 10 from the -- from Exhibit O refer to the cost 11 expectation of all projects that are scheduled 12 with respect to each field for the full year of 13 2021? 14 A. I can't say "yes" or "no." I can't 15 confirm that. 16 Q. And do you understand that that -- do 17 you know whether that was a number that, as 18 opposed to was going to be spent, could have been 19 spent? 20 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 21 THE WITNESS: I understand the 22 number -- the forecast they have in Exhibit O is 23 a calculated P & A schedule. 24 BY MR. GENENDER: 25 Q. There is no requirement that Fieldwood 1</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">103</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Let's go to Page 130, Line 15. 2 Do you see that, Ms. Cheung? On line 15, he's 3 asked: What is the projected spend for plugging 4 and abandonment on the Legacy Apache properties? 5 And he says, "Can you be more 6 specific?" 7 The question is for year 2021. And 8 he says, "In these projections, Fieldwood 1 is 9 projecting to spend 70 million between May to 10 December 2021 period." 11 A. Yes, I see that. 12 Q. Does that refresh your memory of what he 13 said? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And those amounts, for purposes of your 16 report, did you assume that that \$70 million 17 projection represented a required expense rather 18 than a discretionary expense? 19 A. I do not believe that was the required 20 amount. 21 Q. Do you have a belief as to what the 22 required amount is? 23 A. Not specifically, but closer to what 24 their schedule lined out. The ones that I have 25 for 2021.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">102</p> <p>1 spend 175 million on P & A this year. Is there? 2 A. There are some regulatory requirements. 3 The -- the 175, I can't tell you exactly what is 4 required by regulatory, but my understanding is 5 that there are regulatory requirements that would 6 require additional fields to be abandoned beyond 7 the 70 million they have in the Exhibit O. 8 Q. So you would agree there is an element 9 of discretion as it relates to the \$175 million 10 figure; right? 11 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 BY MR. GENENDER: 14 Q. And you understand Mr. Dane testified 15 that Fieldwood 1 is projected to spend 70 million 16 between May and December of 2021 on P & A; right? 17 A. That is my understanding. 18 Q. Do you understand that he testified that 19 was a projection as opposed to a requirement? 20 A. I don't know the answer to that. 21 Q. Let's turn to Page 130 of 22 Mr. Dane -- Mr. Dane's testimony. Did you review 23 all of Mr. Dane's deposition? 24 A. I sat in on his deposition but I did not 25 review the document in its entirety.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">104</p> <p>1 Q. What's your basis, what is your factual 2 basis for that belief? 3 A. When I reviewed their P & A costs 4 associated with specific fields, I can identify 5 which field has been offline or expired. And 6 when a field is expired or terminated, there is a 7 regulation that those fields need to be abandoned 8 in the short-term. 9 Q. How were you able to quantify that? 10 A. Fieldwood had provided their per field 11 abandonment costs and I cross-referenced that 12 with the status of the field. And I am able to 13 see which fields are no longer producing and have 14 been expired, relinquished or terminated and I 15 see a value that is higher than 70 in the first 16 year. 17 Q. How much higher was it? 18 A. Let me see if I have that in my notes, 19 in my report. On Page 13 I don't outline it per 20 year, but what is associated with the expired, 21 relinquished, terminated and in addition to the 22 fields that are not returning to production, I 23 was able to sum up 437 million. 24 Q. Over how many years? 25 A. It's not over a number of years, but it</p>

Lily Cheung - June 04, 2021

<p style="text-align: right;">105</p> <p>1 is expected in the near term because these wells, 2 these fields are not producing, the best 3 deregulation would require the abandonment of 4 these fields. 5 Q. Who do you think knows more about these 6 fields? Mr. Dane or you? 7 MR. KNAPP: Objection. 8 Argumentative. 9 BY MR. GENENDER: 10 Q. I'm not trying to argue, I'm just 11 asking. 12 MR. KNAPP: Objection. 13 THE WITNESS: I'm going to say 14 Mr. Dane. 15 BY MR. GENENDER: 16 Q. If I asked you others, executives, other 17 personnel at Fieldwood, would your answer be the 18 same? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. What was your -- when you were on the 21 phone with Mr. Dane was he forthright with you? 22 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Vague. 23 THE WITNESS: I would say for the 24 most part. 25 BY MR. GENENDER:</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">107</p> <p>1 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 2 THE WITNESS: I think so. 3 BY MR. GENENDER: 4 Q. Thank you. Do you have an understanding 5 of whether or not the \$70 million figure reflects 6 available cash flow that Fieldwood 1 expects to 7 have to put toward the larger anticipated 175 8 million P & A opportunities for 2021? 9 A. That is my understanding. 10 Q. Thank you. Let's turn to your final 11 conclusion and that is that P & A amounts in 12 Exhibit O, the disclosure statement, do not 13 account for all costs. 14 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 15 MR. GENENDER: Did I say something 16 funny? 17 MR. KNAPP: No. Sorry. That's not 18 what it says. 19 MR. GENENDER: I was not finished 20 with it. Let me go ahead and finish it. 21 BY MR. GENENDER: 22 Q. So your fourth opinion, let's just read 23 it then. I don't want to characterize it. "The 24 P & A amounts forecasted in Exhibit O, the 25 disclosure statement, do not account for full</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">106</p> <p>1 Q. Do you think he tried to answer your 2 questions? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And as it relates to this opinion, you 5 didn't take into account other sources of capital 6 on that; right? 7 A. I did not. 8 Q. And if you knew -- you understand that 9 Fieldwood 1 is not limited to the cash flow in 10 Exhibit O, it's financial projections alone 11 satisfy its P & A obligations; right? 12 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form. 13 THE WITNESS: Correct. 14 BY MR. GENENDER: 15 Q. And you understand Mr. Dane testified to 16 that; right? 17 A. I would have to re-read his testimony to 18 answer that. 19 Q. Let me ask you a better question. Are 20 you aware that he testified that Fieldwood 1 has 21 sufficient resources -- excuse me. 22 Are you aware that Mr. Dane 23 testified that Fieldwood 1 has sufficient sources 24 of capital to address all obligations that are 25 anticipated to arise?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">108</p> <p>1 regulatory compliance at the timely abandonment 2 of expired, relinquished or terminated leases nor 3 does it account for the full near term 4 abandonment costs associated with shut-in fields 5 that have recently been disclosed as not 6 returning to production." 7 Did I read that correctly? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. How did you arrive at that 10 opinion? 11 A. I evaluated based on the Fieldwood cost 12 per field, which fields are not currently 13 producing and have been expired, relinquished or 14 terminated in addition to the list of fields that 15 they have -- that Fieldwood provided that they 16 are not returning to production which can be 17 assumed shortly thereafter with the expired 18 lessee. 19 And summing up those costs 20 associated with those fields, the P & A of those 21 wells and platforms, the cost provided by 22 Fieldwood for those fields sums up to more than 23 the amount that was shown in Exhibit O. 24 Q. Again, that doesn't take into account 25 other sources of capital; right?</p>

Lily Cheung - June 04, 2021

<p style="text-align: right;">109</p> <p>1 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Right.</p> <p>3 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>4 Q. So in your report on Page 13 says,</p> <p>5 "Expired, relinquished, terminated fields not</p> <p>6 returning to production account for 437 million</p> <p>7 or 46 percent of Fieldwood 1's remaining</p> <p>8 liability."</p> <p>9 Did I read that right?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Now that 437 million number does not</p> <p>12 reflect potential delays in the termination</p> <p>13 expiration or relinquishment of leases; right?</p> <p>14 A. It accounts for -- there are a subset of</p> <p>15 those that are already expired, relinquished and</p> <p>16 terminated.</p> <p>17 Q. Your report says that amount will be</p> <p>18 needed by Fieldwood 1 within a one-year period</p> <p>19 due to federal regulations on the commission;</p> <p>20 right?</p> <p>21 A. Yes. But I also say some of it may be</p> <p>22 delayed due to circumstances.</p> <p>23 Q. Yes. So thank you. The 437 million</p> <p>24 doesn't reflect delays in termination, expiration</p> <p>25 or relinquishment of leases; right?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">111</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Are you saying -- is your</p> <p>2 testimony there are no potential re-completions</p> <p>3 associated with those fields? Is that what you</p> <p>4 are saying?</p> <p>5 A. For the fields that have already been</p> <p>6 terminated, there is absolutely no more work to</p> <p>7 be done there. And then the remaining group of</p> <p>8 fields have been determined by Fieldwood to not</p> <p>9 return to production.</p> <p>10 Q. Does the 437 million figure reflect any</p> <p>11 agreements or forbearances granted or which might</p> <p>12 be granted by the government?</p> <p>13 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any</p> <p>15 government changes.</p> <p>16 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>17 Q. That's not my question, though. Do you</p> <p>18 remember my question?</p> <p>19 A. Can you repeat it, please?</p> <p>20 Q. Sure. Sure. Does the 437 million</p> <p>21 reflect any agreements or forbearances granted or</p> <p>22 which could be granted by the government?</p> <p>23 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: I would answer that</p> <p>25 with no, because I'm not familiar with what</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">110</p> <p>1 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Form.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Repeat that, please.</p> <p>3 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>4 Q. Sure. The 47 million or as you say 46</p> <p>5 percent of Fieldwood 1's remaining liability,</p> <p>6 that figure could go down based on delays in the</p> <p>7 termination, expiration or relinquishment of</p> <p>8 leases; right?</p> <p>9 MR. KNAPP: Same objection.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't</p> <p>11 fully understand the question. If the \$437 could</p> <p>12 be reduced? Is that what you are asking? If it</p> <p>13 could be reduced because certain fields can be</p> <p>14 delayed in terms of --</p> <p>15 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>16 Q. Yes.</p> <p>17 A. It could be decreased within that</p> <p>18 five-year period.</p> <p>19 Q. Thank you. And the 437 million</p> <p>20 calculation doesn't reflect potential</p> <p>21 re-completion efforts by the Debtors; right?</p> <p>22 MR. KNAPP: Objection.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: There are no</p> <p>24 re-completions associated with those fields.</p> <p>25 BY MR. GENENDER:</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">112</p> <p>1 conversations have been had.</p> <p>2 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. You would defer to those that</p> <p>4 are; right?</p> <p>5 A. Right.</p> <p>6 MR. KNAPP: Objection.</p> <p>7 BY MR. GENENDER:</p> <p>8 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>9 MR. GENENDER: Why don't we take a</p> <p>10 short break? About five minutes. Okay?</p> <p>11 MR. KNAPP: Okay.</p> <p>12 MR. GENENDER: Thanks, guys.</p> <p>13 (Recess taken.)</p> <p>14 MR. GENENDER: Ms. Cheung, those</p> <p>15 are the questions I have at this time.</p> <p>16 Obviously, we reserve our rights. We have, you</p> <p>17 know, some issues regarding documents and</p> <p>18 documents and materials that were provided to us.</p> <p>19 We will reserve our rights to that; and subject</p> <p>20 to that, I will pass the witness.</p> <p>21 MR. KNAPP: We will reserve our</p> <p>22 questions. There was a Cross-Notice from BF.</p> <p>23 Anyone going to ask questions from BF?</p> <p>24 MR. DUEWALL: No questions at this</p> <p>25 time.</p>

113	
1 MR. GENENDER: Okay. Well, I'll 2 see many of you at 3:30 Central. 3 (Deposition concluded at 1:33 p.m. 4 CDT.) 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
114	
1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 2 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 3 HOUSTON DIVISION 4 In re: : Chapter 11 5 : 6 FIELDWOOD ENERGY L.L.C., : Case No. 20-33948 (MI) 7 et al., : 8 Debtors. : (Jointly Administered) 9 10 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 11 REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF 12 LILY W. CHEUNG 13 June 4, 2021 14 I, Suzanne Kelly, Certified Shorthand Reporter in 15 and for the State of Texas hereby certify to the 16 following: 17 That the witness, LILY W. CHEUNG, was duly sworn 18 by the officer and that the transcript of the 19 videotaped oral deposition is a true record of the 20 testimony given by the witness: 21 22 That the amount of time used by each party at the 23 deposition is as follows: 24 Mr. Genender: One hours and 58 minutes; 25 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. In witness whereof, I have this date subscribed my name on this 5th day of June, 2021.  <signature> Suzanne Kelly, RDR, CRR LEXITAS Firm Registration No. 736 Suite 118 999 Old Eagle School Road Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1707 215.494.7650	

<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">\$</p> <hr/>	<p>20 27:2 64:8 20,000 81:12 82:11</p>	<p>3.7 16:25 30,000 16:18</p>
<p>\$175 102:9</p>	<p>20,000s 81:18</p>	<p>37 79:13</p>
<p>\$37 79:14</p>	<p>2007 13:9 46:15</p>	<p>38675 101:2</p>
<p>\$437 110:11</p>	<p>2010 46:17,18</p>	<p>3:30 113:2</p>
<p>\$58 79:13</p>	<p>2013 13:12</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <hr/>
<p>\$70 103:16 107:5</p>	<p>2020 21:24 55:11,12,23 69:10,22</p>	<p>4 17:16,20 18:1,4 19:22 25:10 26:23 33:16,17 34:1,5</p>
<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <hr/>	<p>2021 8:4 17:2 22:25 23:9,22 25:5 26:20 34:24 62:19,21 66:1 68:3 72:21 74:4,6 79:13 80:5 81:19 89:15 101:13 102:16 103:7,10,25 107:8</p>	<p>437 104:23 109:6,11,23 110:19 111:10,20</p>
<p>1 16:21 17:15,23 18:3,4 19:22 20:6,10 22:20,21 23:8 24:23 25:8 29:14 30:1 34:2,5,10,23 42:11 44:18 49:11,18 52:6,16 53:13 60:4 69:25 84:4 87:2 93:18,25 94:8 95:25 99:12,24,25 101:1,25 102:15 103:8 106:9,20,23 107:6 109:18</p>	<p>2022 66:1 72:21 79:14 80:6</p>	<p>457 100:1,21</p>
<p>1's 82:23 96:22 109:7 110:5</p>	<p>2023 78:7,25</p>	<p>46 109:7 110:4</p>
<p>10 10:15 28:7,9,15,17,21 38:7 62:4 76:10,17,23 78:20 79:17 92:4</p>	<p>2024 78:14,18,23</p>	<p>47 110:4</p>
<p>10th 41:12</p>	<p>2025 78:15,18 79:1 99:20,22 100:1</p>	<p>4th 8:3 88:22</p>
<p>11 43:17,21 45:4 64:1 65:3 84:3 90:16,20</p>	<p>20s 81:18 82:13,16 83:13</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <hr/>
<p>11:09 8:4</p>	<p>20-33948 8:10</p>	<p>5,000 63:21 64:18,24 79:10</p>
<p>12 99:20,21 100:17</p>	<p>21 25:5,24 26:20 28:13 37:11 62:16 63:13 64:5,8 79:12 91:14</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <hr/>
<p>123 16:21</p>	<p>21st 23:22 27:2 68:3</p>	<p>6 30:6 33:7 36:1 37:10 49:6 57:5 61:24</p>
<p>13 104:19 109:4</p>	<p>22 62:16 63:13 64:5,9 79:12 91:14</p>	<p>6.8 16:18</p>
<p>130 102:21 103:1</p>	<p>22,000 64:7</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <hr/>
<p>13th 36:10,17</p>	<p>23 17:1 26:25</p>	<p>7 60:3</p>
<p>14 68:15 94:14 98:18</p>	<p>23,000 16:17 64:7 79:1</p>	<p>70 102:7,15 103:9 104:15</p>
<p>15 103:1,2</p>	<p>24 20:6 22:25 34:5</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <hr/>
<p>16 95:7</p>	<p>242 94:18</p>	<p>8 69:8,20 71:6 89:21</p>
<p>17 69:23</p>	<p>243 95:3,6</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">A</p> <hr/>
<p>175 101:9 102:1,3 107:7</p>	<p>244 94:19 95:25</p>	<p>a.m. 8:4</p>
<p>19,000 16:25</p>	<p>24th 19:12 34:23 35:8 41:19</p>	<p>abandoned 101:6 102:6 104:7</p>
<p>1:00 92:7</p>	<p>25 62:19 95:7</p>	<p>abandonment 22:25 99:19,22 103:4 104:11 105:3 108:1,4</p>
<p>1:33 113:3</p>	<p>26 19:7</p>	<p>absence 60:7</p>
<p>1st 39:16 40:1,11</p>	<p>26,000 78:22</p>	<p>absolutely 91:24 111:6</p>
<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <hr/>	<p>28 82:7,9,10</p>	
<p>2 57:24 58:25</p>	<p>28,000 81:19 82:8 83:1,7,11,21</p>	
	<p>281 93:19</p>	
	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <hr/>	
	<p>3 52:23</p>	

<p>accepted 61:17</p> <p>account 69:4 79:5 80:13,20 89:14 93:8 106:5 107:13,25 108:3,24 109:6</p> <p>accounts 109:14</p> <p>accurate 32:19 40:17 78:7</p> <p>actively 72:18</p> <p>actual 23:11 42:6,12 56:5 77:5 95:21</p> <p>add 100:21</p> <p>addition 47:9 104:21 108:14</p> <p>additional 29:18 50:7 57:11 102:6</p> <p>address 106:24</p> <p>adjust 63:4 87:3</p> <p>adjusted 62:20 64:7 80:3</p> <p>adjustment 63:20 65:4,5</p> <p>adjustments 62:21 63:3 66:2,6</p> <p>administer 9:17</p> <p>advised 53:25 54:2</p> <p>affect 90:4 91:12,16</p> <p>affirm 9:19</p> <p>aggregate 74:8</p> <p>agree 34:4 58:16 81:6 87:2 88:21 102:8</p> <p>agreeing 32:1 80:21</p> <p>agreement 44:19 55:4</p> <p>agreements 111:11,21</p> <p>ahead 80:24 88:1 107:20</p> <p>allocation 74:25</p> <p>alluded 57:9</p> <p>alternative 74:23</p> <p>amount 50:14 103:20,22 108:23 109:17</p> <p>amounts 94:9 99:12,14 103:15 107:11,24</p> <p>analysis 42:14 43:19,20 56:5,16 57:3 65:18 86:23</p> <p>analyst 42:2 53:6</p> <p>analyze 21:9,12 67:4</p> <p>analyzed 67:12 68:15</p> <p>analyzing 21:14</p>	<p>annotated 57:14</p> <p>annual 94:24</p> <p>answers 20:20</p> <p>anticipated 106:25 107:7</p> <p>APA 100:7,18 101:4</p> <p>Apache 103:4</p> <p>appearances 9:2</p> <p>application 77:22</p> <p>applied 44:13,16 69:9</p> <p>approach 29:12</p> <p>approximately 53:21</p> <p>April 23:4,5,9,20,21,22 25:5,24 26:20 27:1,2 28:13 34:11,15,20,25 35:8,20, 22 36:3,16 37:11,25 38:3,4,18 39:13, 16 40:1,11,14,16,23 41:4 43:6 44:9 68:3</p> <p>argue 105:10</p> <p>Argumentative 105:8</p> <p>ARIES 20:11 40:11 49:8,9 55:12 69:10,22 71:15 72:16 73:13 75:5,6, 11,21 97:7</p> <p>arise 106:25</p> <p>arrive 77:11 108:9</p> <p>arrived 35:20 37:1,8 76:22</p> <p>arriving 27:11 28:14,25</p> <p>aspect 28:12 92:19</p> <p>aspects 28:24 53:24</p> <p>asset 45:19 48:1,4 59:14,19 60:2</p> <p>assets 47:4,25 49:11 60:19 82:23 93:19 94:8</p> <p>assume 11:16 71:18 72:3 87:18 103:16</p> <p>assumed 71:23 83:11 108:17</p> <p>assumes 79:18 86:6,11</p> <p>assuming 90:9</p> <p>assumption 51:4 86:9 100:14</p> <p>assumptions 27:6 29:4 63:5 68:1, 23 69:13,16 70:18,23 71:4,8,9,12,18</p> <p>attempted 94:6</p> <p>attending 8:14</p>	<p>attention 60:4</p> <p>attorney 8:20</p> <p>audit 13:24</p> <p>audited 50:16 51:1</p> <p>auditor 50:17 51:3,16</p> <p>availability 89:25</p> <p>average 82:7,9,10,12 95:18</p> <p>aware 9:9 18:12,17,19,20 58:10,12 83:20 88:15 93:18 99:3 101:8 106:20, 22 111:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <hr/> <p>back 25:2 28:23 33:15 42:20 61:24 66:22 67:22 68:2 70:8 74:18 79:6 81:21 83:12,22 89:1 92:7</p> <p>back-up 14:19 15:10 21:1,4,9,13 76:13,22</p> <p>bankruptcy 8:9 43:9 45:8</p> <p>barrel 79:10 83:11</p> <p>barrels 16:17,18 63:14,21 64:7,8,18 78:22 82:8 83:1,21</p> <p>based 32:22 35:15 37:15 62:18 70:6, 9 74:11 89:25 94:21 95:18 98:11 99:24 108:11 110:6</p> <p>basic 51:14 60:13</p> <p>basing 49:3</p> <p>basis 19:1 24:22 51:7 82:12 83:25 85:6 96:3 98:8 104:1,2</p> <p>bathroom 92:2</p> <p>beginning 8:19</p> <p>behalf 8:24 10:19</p> <p>behind-pipe 70:11,14</p> <p>belabor 86:23</p> <p>belief 103:21 104:2</p> <p>believed 31:2</p> <p>benefit 20:14 21:4 73:2</p> <p>BF 112:22,23</p> <p>billings 42:22</p> <p>bit 12:24 29:12 35:25 56:13,15</p> <p>books 98:24</p>
--	--	---

<p>bore 89:25 95:21 98:4</p> <p>bottoms 78:2</p> <p>box 39:23</p> <p>Brad 8:23 9:4 14:3 22:6</p> <p>break 62:5 91:23 92:3,14 93:3 112:10</p> <p>bring 81:21,23</p> <p>bringing 70:8</p> <p>broad 97:12</p> <p>broke 43:6</p> <p>broken 49:12</p> <p>brought 83:22</p> <p>budget 70:12,16 73:23,25 74:9,12,22 75:15 79:25 80:12 87:11,19 89:24</p> <p>budget allowed 73:16</p> <p>budgeted 79:18</p> <p>budgeting 95:17 96:9</p> <p>budgets 96:23</p> <p>bullet 31:9 71:6 89:21 93:14 97:18</p> <p>bullets 71:6</p> <p>business 31:8,17,22 64:10 65:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <hr/> <p>calculated 20:19,20,21 84:14 101:23</p> <p>calculating 19:24</p> <p>calculation 60:2 65:13 110:20</p> <p>calculations 17:3 72:21 85:17</p> <p>call 23:16,19 24:5,6,20 25:5,10,20,24 54:23 60:4 68:9 75:19,20 86:14</p> <p>camera 12:6 16:12</p> <p>capable 96:7</p> <p>Capex 73:25 74:24 80:12</p> <p>capital 16:20 66:18 69:4 70:16 79:18 80:6,10 81:1 86:8,14 87:1,21 95:14 96:2 106:5,24 108:25</p> <p>case 8:10 9:20 10:17,19 12:10 13:13, 17,19,22 22:13 23:1 29:16 30:3 33:3, 7 46:6 48:20 49:21 52:7 77:21 86:16 92:19</p>	<p>cases 71:24 73:11 75:14,17,25 76:4, 6 89:23</p> <p>cash 62:22,23 65:25 66:19 80:6 91:14 99:24 106:9 107:6</p> <p>Castillo 42:9</p> <p>CDT 113:4</p> <p>Central 8:4 92:7 113:2</p> <p>challenges 96:1</p> <p>chance 93:1</p> <p>change 84:12</p> <p>changed 36:3</p> <p>changing 38:5 79:15</p> <p>Chapter 43:16,21 45:4</p> <p>characterize 107:23</p> <p>charge 47:1,3,5,7</p> <p>chart 79:17 90:20</p> <p>check 23:6 39:8</p> <p>checks 42:2</p> <p>Cheung 8:7 10:1,9 23:1 36:9 52:25 55:3 58:23 62:3 66:22 96:14 103:2 112:14</p> <p>choosing 75:25</p> <p>circle 63:25</p> <p>circled 64:2,3</p> <p>circumstances 109:22</p> <p>cited 99:8</p> <p>clarification 36:24</p> <p>clarify 14:20 19:8 23:10 43:14,15 78:19 93:23</p> <p>clarifying 85:25</p> <p>clear 14:22 19:6 36:18 37:1 38:10 53:16 76:20 81:6</p> <p>client 11:1 13:24</p> <p>clients 10:19 45:7</p> <p>close 41:16 78:8</p> <p>closer 100:15 103:23</p> <p>collection 94:7</p> <p>Colorado 47:19</p> <p>commanded 58:3</p>	<p>commence 72:4</p> <p>commission 109:19</p> <p>communication 38:12</p> <p>company 8:25 16:20 27:17 29:8 31:3,10 43:8,20 44:17,19,21 45:11 46:21 49:15 50:8 62:20 63:5,22 64:9, 18 67:6,23 68:5,10,13,17,20 69:5 72:23,24 74:22 80:3 87:6,19,22 90:4 91:13 93:8 94:2,10,13 99:12 101:5</p> <p>company's 31:7 44:25 45:3,4 63:12 66:25 73:16 74:9 79:12</p> <p>comparative 45:18</p> <p>compare 55:13 56:8,10,19</p> <p>compared 79:11 90:3</p> <p>compares 83:2</p> <p>complete 60:19</p> <p>completions 79:19</p> <p>compliance 108:1</p> <p>comprises 101:3</p> <p>computation 77:5</p> <p>computer 39:18</p> <p>conclude 74:8</p> <p>concluded 113:3</p> <p>concludes 78:6</p> <p>conclusion 30:6 37:9 48:24 51:16 62:14 66:15 74:21 76:23 77:11 78:13 93:6 99:11 107:11</p> <p>conclusions 30:9,11 33:6,12 34:14, 17,24 35:7,19 36:2 37:24 38:2,3,19 40:14,15 41:1,5,6 49:6,19 57:5 61:25 82:24 97:19</p> <p>conditions 87:4</p> <p>conference 8:17 12:3</p> <p>confirm 23:24 36:21 38:21 101:15</p> <p>confirmation 58:7</p> <p>connection 15:4,11 19:11 42:11 46:9 48:19 49:6,20 52:6 58:6 61:5 75:15 94:19</p> <p>considerations 37:23</p> <p>considered 30:18 33:17,25 37:13 88:6</p> <p>consistent 96:9</p>
--	--	---

<p>constant 37:17</p> <p>constantly 37:18</p> <p>constitute 60:18</p> <p>constrained 12:21</p> <p>construction 46:2</p> <p>consult 75:16 98:16</p> <p>consulting 22:2,17</p> <p>contacted 22:5</p> <p>contest 61:4,12,15 62:3</p> <p>contested 44:1</p> <p>context 10:24 22:9</p> <p>continue 62:12 95:24</p> <p>contributing 71:25</p> <p>contribution 90:19 91:2,11</p> <p>contributions 91:6</p> <p>conversation 14:3 25:1 33:22 67:25</p> <p>conversations 112:1</p> <p>coordinated 52:25 53:1,8</p> <p>coordinating 47:5,11</p> <p>copy 17:17 92:25</p> <p>correct 20:7,8 28:16,18 30:4,8 34:8 40:18 43:24 46:22 59:8 66:3,4,8 67:17,19 69:6,7 71:22 72:2 76:23 77:12 79:20,22 80:22 81:14 85:12 86:5,15 88:8 99:8,9 100:20,23 106:13</p> <p>corrected 53:18</p> <p>correcting 38:15</p> <p>correctly 60:14 62:25 94:25 95:22 99:16 108:7</p> <p>cost 63:5 71:14 74:7,8,10 75:10 86:3 93:20,21 94:7 101:10 108:11,21</p> <p>costs 49:17 50:14 63:20 64:25 67:10,13,21 68:1,6,10,13,16 75:3 86:17 90:19 91:2 99:13 100:4,8 101:4,9 104:3,11 107:13 108:4,19</p> <p>counsel 8:17 9:1 18:7 19:9 41:24 53:23 54:18 58:3</p> <p>counted 94:1</p> <p>couple 74:12</p> <p>court 8:9 9:11,14,16,24 11:2,20</p> <p>courtesy 62:11</p>	<p>COVID 8:14</p> <p>create 89:4</p> <p>created 77:10</p> <p>critiquing 32:14</p> <p>Cross-notice 112:22</p> <p>cross-referenced 104:11</p> <p>curiosity 16:9</p> <p>current 27:16 82:13 83:14</p> <p>curve 93:7 94:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>daily 64:6 82:20</p> <p>Dane 36:9,17 68:4 81:11 82:14,15 101:9 102:14,22 105:6,14,21 106:15,22</p> <p>Dane's 83:10 94:19 95:4 102:22,23</p> <p>data 18:23 19:24 23:12 28:2 29:7,10 30:19 31:11 32:7,8,12,18,22 33:17 35:14,16 37:13,15,19,25 41:4 49:25 51:18 52:11,12 54:5,15 58:14 60:13 69:17 77:23</p> <p>database 21:17 23:3,7 38:17,22 39:12 40:11,23 50:1 51:20,24 55:12,24 56:1 69:10,22 70:2,5 71:10,14</p> <p>databases 57:2 58:16</p> <p>dataflow 22:18</p> <p>date 8:3 10:16 22:19 23:19 26:14 38:25 39:15 53:18 81:12</p> <p>dated 26:20</p> <p>Dawna 9:12</p> <p>day 16:18 63:17,21 64:7,8,18,24 78:23 79:11 81:12,19 82:8,12 83:1,7,11,21</p> <p>day-to-day 47:1</p> <p>Dean 24:2 75:20</p> <p>debate 33:5</p> <p>Debtors 8:22 18:13,18,20 21:11 25:24 58:4 78:6 86:6,11,13 110:21</p> <p>Debtors' 78:13</p> <p>December 21:24 102:16 103:10</p> <p>decide 21:20</p>	<p>decline 79:2</p> <p>decommissioning 46:3 47:21,24 48:2,5</p> <p>decrease 64:14</p> <p>decreased 110:17</p> <p>deem 51:20</p> <p>deemed 50:17,20,25 51:18</p> <p>deep 67:25</p> <p>defending 8:25</p> <p>defensible 95:14</p> <p>defer 94:2 112:3</p> <p>deferred 62:24 66:11</p> <p>definition 44:22</p> <p>delayed 109:22 110:14</p> <p>delays 109:12,24 110:6</p> <p>delivering 96:7</p> <p>demonstrates 16:12</p> <p>deposed 13:16 36:9</p> <p>deposition 8:6,12 9:1 10:10 14:2 17:23 30:11 36:12,16 38:12 57:15,16,21,24 58:24 94:19 102:23,24 113:3</p> <p>deregulation 105:3</p> <p>desk 12:2,4 16:2</p> <p>detail 24:17 30:10 85:2,5</p> <p>details 91:5,7</p> <p>determine 19:10 56:5,16 72:21</p> <p>determined 22:12 96:5 111:8</p> <p>develop 20:9</p> <p>developed 71:24 75:14</p> <p>Diana 42:9 53:6,11</p> <p>difference 55:14 78:10 84:5 87:11</p> <p>differences 55:11 56:5,17,20,22</p> <p>differently 29:13</p> <p>difficult 82:1,3 85:22</p> <p>digital 17:9</p> <p>direct 20:11,13 65:7 86:16 95:3</p> <p>directly 21:16 32:4 33:4,6</p> <p>disagree 32:21 33:10 83:25 85:7</p>
--	--	---

<p>disclosed 108:5</p> <p>disclosure 29:5 99:14 107:12,25</p> <p>discretion 87:3 102:9</p> <p>discretionary 103:18</p> <p>discussion 96:5</p> <p>disruptions 82:5</p> <p>distill 63:11</p> <p>District 8:9</p> <p>Division 8:10</p> <p>document 12:22 14:11,12 18:15,25 22:22 35:1 52:8 84:6 102:25</p> <p>documentation 22:10,11 23:11 42:12 76:25</p> <p>documents 14:7,9,10,15,23 15:1,15, 20 18:21 19:14,23 21:17 27:7 29:2,4 33:17 45:7 52:6,16 57:19 58:4,7 92:13,19 93:1 112:17,18</p> <p>Doj 13:17</p> <p>dollar 50:13 94:9</p> <p>dollars 42:25</p> <p>Double-checking 34:19</p> <p>downloaded 39:23</p> <p>draft 53:19</p> <p>drafted 41:18,20</p> <p>drafts 53:12</p> <p>drilling 47:9,11,12 72:3</p> <p>drinks 12:7,9</p> <p>drop 78:18 79:11,13,14</p> <p>Dropped 78:25</p> <p>drops 79:1,2</p> <p>due 8:14 80:5 86:8,13 89:15 109:19, 22</p> <p>DUEWALL 112:24</p>	<p>40:14,16 41:1,7 54:2 56:9</p> <p>easier 12:19</p> <p>economic 71:4,7,18,19 72:9,19 73:8</p> <p>economics 47:8,10</p> <p>effect 36:2 67:4</p> <p>efforts 110:21</p> <p>element 102:8</p> <p>employed 13:2,7</p> <p>enable 60:9</p> <p>end 41:4 49:10 50:2</p> <p>ending 62:21</p> <p>endurance 62:3</p> <p>Energy 8:8 69:23,24,25</p> <p>engaged 54:22</p> <p>engagement 22:17 54:25 55:4 92:21</p> <p>engineer 13:3 46:12,20,25 47:18</p> <p>engineering 22:2 60:13</p> <p>engineers 47:12</p> <p>ensure 49:8</p> <p>entire 29:14</p> <p>entirety 51:20,24 102:25</p> <p>entities 59:15,19</p> <p>entitled 22:24</p> <p>entries 100:21</p> <p>entry 100:18</p> <p>equates 16:18</p> <p>equating 17:1</p> <p>Essentially 23:2</p> <p>estimate 43:2 94:7,22</p> <p>estimated 46:7 49:17 50:14 62:20 63:22 64:19 100:1</p> <p>estimates 45:20 48:7,12,16 60:11 61:14,19 72:22</p> <p>estimating 47:3,7 61:5 96:22</p> <p>estimation 20:1 78:3 93:20,21 97:1, 4,10</p> <p>estimations 98:25</p> <p>et al 8:8</p>	<p>evaluate 82:22</p> <p>evaluated 97:10 108:11</p> <p>evaluating 47:10 98:21</p> <p>evaluation 27:8 28:3 61:1</p> <p>evening 14:4</p> <p>events 56:3</p> <p>exact 10:16 56:9</p> <p>EXAMINATION 10:5</p> <p>examine 60:12</p> <p>exceed 74:22</p> <p>exceeded 74:8</p> <p>exceeds 99:23</p> <p>Excel 17:10 18:8 19:18 20:5 33:20 52:7,17 56:25 57:7,11 58:16,17</p> <p>Excels 57:3</p> <p>excess 99:13</p> <p>exciting 16:5</p> <p>excluded 72:4</p> <p>excluding 100:2</p> <p>excuse 34:2 60:1 63:17 106:21</p> <p>execs 33:23</p> <p>executives 105:16</p> <p>exercise 87:7</p> <p>exhibit 12:15 14:18,19 15:9,10 17:15,23 18:1,3,4 19:22 20:10 21:4,9, 14 22:20,21 23:8 24:23 25:8 28:11,20 29:11,14 30:1 31:22 34:1,5,10,23 42:11 52:6,16 53:13 57:24 58:25 60:4 69:6 70:13 73:24,25 74:13,25 84:4 87:22 99:14,24 100:13 101:10,22 102:7 106:10 107:12,24 108:23</p> <p>exhibits 52:15</p> <p>expect 86:7,13</p> <p>expectation 101:11</p> <p>expectations 16:24</p> <p>expected 62:22 105:1</p> <p>expects 107:6</p> <p>expenditures 87:1</p> <p>expense 65:7 67:7 81:3,7 103:17,18</p> <p>expenses 64:15,19 65:3 66:3,6 84:18,21 85:3,8,15 91:12 100:3</p>
<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/>		
<p>e-mail 9:2</p> <p>e-mails 39:2 92:15,16</p> <p>earlier 22:16 26:3 52:18 57:2 62:7</p> <p>early 21:23 34:11,15,20,25 35:8,20, 21 36:3,15 37:15 38:2,4,17 39:12</p>		

<p>experience 55:25 96:22</p> <p>expert 10:22 11:4 14:14,16,18 15:4 19:11 22:13,22,25 26:8,19,25 29:23 43:20 44:1 45:18,23 52:9,19 54:7,8</p> <p>expiration 109:13,24 110:7</p> <p>expired 104:5,6,14,20 108:2,13,17 109:5,15</p> <p>explain 71:11,12</p> <p>explained 82:14</p> <p>explanation 34:22 78:17 79:9</p> <p>extended 96:3</p> <p>extent 58:1 59:6</p> <p>external 80:13,20</p> <p>Exxon 46:25 98:19</p> <p>Exxonmobil 46:21,24 47:16,20</p>	<p>12,14,20,22 109:5 110:13,24 111:3,5,8</p> <p>Fieldwood 8:7 19:15 23:16 24:1,13 28:22 33:22 44:18 48:21,25 49:11,18 50:22 57:13 59:14,19 69:22,23,24 73:14 75:17 77:8 82:22 87:2 93:18,25 94:8 96:22,23 99:12,23,25 100:8 101:1,25 102:15 103:8 104:10 105:17 106:9,20,23 107:6 108:11,15,22 109:7,18 110:5 111:8</p> <p>Fieldwood's 43:16 62:15 70:6,9 76:5 85:1,7</p> <p>figure 102:10 107:5 110:6 111:10</p> <p>file 20:12 39:24 49:8,9,11 52:21 57:11 71:16 72:17 73:13 75:5,7,11,21 97:7</p> <p>filed 8:8</p> <p>files 33:20 39:3,4</p> <p>fill 50:6 74:12</p> <p>final 34:16,24 38:3 40:15 41:5,9,13,16 107:10</p> <p>finally 35:20</p> <p>financial 21:6 87:23 106:10</p> <p>find 50:5 72:14</p> <p>Fine 62:12</p> <p>finger 99:4</p> <p>finish 34:12 107:20</p> <p>finished 89:7 107:19</p> <p>firm 22:11 41:25</p> <p>five-year 31:3 72:24 110:18</p> <p>flipping 15:19</p> <p>flow 37:17 62:22,23 65:25 66:19 80:6 91:14 99:24 106:9 107:6</p> <p>flowing 52:12</p> <p>fluctuate 82:20</p> <p>focus 54:14 68:1</p> <p>forbearances 111:11,21</p> <p>forecast 22:24 31:4 62:15,20 63:6,13 79:15,18 80:2,3 82:17 93:8 96:4 101:22</p> <p>forecasted 79:7 99:12,14 101:6 107:24</p> <p>forecasting 96:2 97:9</p>	<p>forecasts 45:24 46:4,8 49:14 63:10 67:1,5 70:9 79:23 94:23</p> <p>form 20:22 24:15,22 25:12,16 29:1 31:1 32:16 35:9,10,16 36:5 37:3 41:14 44:6,11 45:13 49:22 50:24 51:9,25 54:13 56:7 58:19 59:24 63:15 64:21 65:20 69:15 70:25 76:7,15 80:16 85:11 87:12 91:15 93:12 97:13 101:20 102:11 106:12 107:1,14 109:1 110:1 111:13,23</p> <p>formally 45:6</p> <p>format 18:8 35:17</p> <p>formed 37:24 45:10</p> <p>forthright 105:21</p> <p>forward 44:19</p> <p>fourth 89:21 107:22</p> <p>free 91:13</p> <p>front 12:5,10 15:17 16:6 17:8,20 39:5</p> <p>full 10:7,18 35:13 40:21 101:12 107:25 108:3</p> <p>Full-time 13:3</p> <p>fully 60:12 110:11</p> <p>function 84:15</p> <p>functioning 49:9</p> <p>fund 79:19</p> <p>funding 74:24 80:7,10 81:1</p> <p>funny 107:16</p> <p>fussing 31:23</p> <p>future 46:7 48:8 61:19 87:4 94:23 97:24</p> <p>FWE38675 99:25 100:9</p> <p>FWEI 22:24</p>
<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <hr/>		
<p>face 32:21</p> <p>facilities 47:12</p> <p>fact 10:23</p> <p>factor 85:14</p> <p>factual 104:1</p> <p>failed 93:8</p> <p>fair 21:11,15,20 36:8 37:1 43:13 50:19 51:17 67:7 92:6,18 93:10 99:21</p> <p>fall 80:7</p> <p>falls 93:9</p> <p>familiar 23:12 44:10 111:25</p> <p>feasibility 44:5,10 45:10</p> <p>feasible 44:21,23</p> <p>fed 14:19</p> <p>federal 109:19</p> <p>feed 100:13</p> <p>feel 12:20 36:25</p> <p>fewer 86:7,12</p> <p>field 47:2,9,14,18 57:14 70:7 72:17 85:2 98:1,5 101:12 104:5,6,10,12 108:12</p> <p>fields 70:4 71:15 81:21,24 101:5 102:6 104:4,7,13,22 105:2,4,6 108:4,</p>		
<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <hr/>		
		<p>Galloway 68:5</p> <p>gaps 50:7</p> <p>Garrett 68:4</p> <p>gas 19:25 27:14 45:11 46:9 75:24 76:2 93:7 97:9</p> <p>gather 49:24</p> <p>Genender 8:21 9:4,10 10:6 20:24</p>

<p>24:19 25:14,21 29:9 31:5,12,15 32:23 35:11 36:7 37:5 41:17 44:8,14 45:14 50:18 51:6,12 54:16 56:12 58:21 59:25 61:10 63:16 64:22 65:21 67:11 69:18 71:2 76:9,16 77:3,18 80:17,25 83:16,18 85:13 87:14,20 91:18,24 92:6,10 93:15 97:16 101:24 102:13 105:9,15,25 106:14 107:3,15,19,21 109:3 110:3,15,25 111:16 112:2,7,9, 12,14 113:1</p> <p>general 84:24 86:2 generate 35:15 89:4 geologic 60:13 give 9:20 22:19 36:17 God 9:22 good 9:5,10 42:16 88:21 92:5 Gosh 10:15 Gotshal 8:22 government 111:12,15,22 grab 16:5 grammar 42:3 granted 111:11,12,21,22 Great 11:19 39:25 ground 11:7 group 111:7 guess 26:4 Gulf 82:5 97:8,20 98:14 guys 112:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <hr/> <p>half 14:6 42:17 halfway 89:22 hand 9:17 handful 14:10 hands 40:1 Hang 58:22 Hanson 26:11,12 28:10,18 30:22,24 33:9 59:18 Hanson's 26:15,19 27:1,4 28:13,24 30:12,14 31:7,17,25 37:10 happen 22:15</p>	<p>happened 23:24 56:2 happy 62:9 hard 37:12 hardcopy 12:12 17:17 HCCI 8:24 head 72:13 84:25 90:10 hear 11:9 48:3 92:11 97:15 heard 11:16 35:18 51:14 hearing 58:6 helped 53:6 helps 42:4 higher 104:15,17 highlighting 15:22 historic 94:22 95:17 96:22 97:23 historical 82:23 98:8,11 hitting 72:18 Houlihan 14:17 15:9 26:4,6,24 28:1, 2,9,17 54:9,12 hour 14:6 42:25 62:4 hours 42:18 43:3 Houston 8:10 11:24 hurricane 82:4 83:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <hr/> <p>identical 64:20 79:10 identified 33:19,21 58:2 59:6 66:20 89:25 94:21 identify 8:18 56:21 104:4 identifying 93:10 imagine 12:25 immediately 58:3 implies 32:20 in-house 56:19 include 18:21 19:7 61:18 81:7 83:24 93:19 included 33:21 69:5 70:5 90:19 includes 49:11 83:21 incorporate 71:5 95:13</p>	<p>incorporated 69:13 71:17 Incorporating 71:7 increase 81:17 89:8,10,12,15 independent 60:25 independently 48:14 indirectly 32:5,20 33:6 individual 71:15 95:20 96:3 information 19:23 25:18,25 27:9,13 30:17,24 37:18 48:20,25 49:1,2 50:7, 21 51:2,8,15 68:12,17,19 70:6 77:4,7 90:23 initial 37:14 89:10 Initially 22:14 insurance 8:24 65:8 intend 30:2 intends 58:5 interesting 53:2 interfacing 54:18 internal 80:6,10 81:1 International 8:24 interview 13:17 investigations 60:7 involved 47:21,23 Isgur 70:22 issue 43:8 issued 37:11 issues 112:17 item 26:25 75:11 items 20:6 34:5,7 63:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <hr/> <p>J.P. 26:11,12,13 job 48:9 John 68:5 Judge 70:22 judgment 87:7 judgments 37:15 60:10 61:4,12 85:7 June 8:3 82:6 88:22</p>
---	--	--

<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <hr/>	<p>license 46:15</p>	<p>major 56:20,22</p>
<p>Kelly 9:12</p>	<p>Licensed 46:12</p>	<p>make 11:8 14:22 15:14,18 19:6 29:25</p>
<p>key 15:22</p>	<p>life 76:5</p>	<p>37:14 61:23 62:10 63:19 65:13 68:23</p>
<p>keywords 15:22</p>	<p>Lily 8:7 10:1,9 22:25</p>	<p>71:19 72:8 73:7 75:21 76:19 80:18</p>
<p>kind 22:15 26:21 38:24 53:17 54:2</p>	<p>limit 87:11</p>	<p>81:5 85:22 96:19</p>
<p>Knapp 8:23 9:8 20:22 22:6,7 24:15</p>	<p>limited 70:12 106:9</p>	<p>makes 96:16</p>
<p>25:12,16 29:1 31:1 32:16 35:10 36:5</p>	<p>line-up 78:9</p>	<p>making 9:2,6 66:1</p>
<p>37:3 41:14 44:6,11 45:13 49:22 50:24</p>	<p>lined 103:24</p>	<p>management 28:22</p>
<p>51:9 54:13 56:7 58:19 59:24 61:7</p>	<p>lines 84:7 95:7</p>	<p>Manges 8:22</p>
<p>63:15 64:21 65:20 67:8 69:15 70:25</p>	<p>liquid 75:24</p>	<p>manner 97:11 98:20</p>
<p>76:7,15,24 77:17 80:16,23 85:11</p>	<p>liquids 84:12</p>	<p>March 62:19</p>
<p>87:12,17 91:15,22 92:4 93:12 96:14</p>	<p>list 19:13 25:10,18 26:23 33:16 72:12</p>	<p>mark 12:14 17:15 57:23</p>
<p>97:13 101:20 102:11 105:7,12,22</p>	<p>108:14</p>	<p>marked 17:23 58:25</p>
<p>106:12 107:1,14,17 109:1 110:1,9,22</p>	<p>listed 18:2,4,5 19:16 20:6,12 34:1,5</p>	<p>material 55:10,14 56:6,17</p>
<p>111:13,23 112:6,11,21</p>	<p>64:15 65:3</p>	<p>materials 19:11 55:5 112:18</p>
<p>knew 106:8</p>	<p>literature 98:16,18,22 99:2,7</p>	<p>math 57:4 73:2 84:10,15</p>
<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <hr/>	<p>loaded 49:14 71:14</p>	<p>matter 8:7 43:12 44:1</p>
<p>L.L.C. 8:8</p>	<p>located 11:23</p>	<p>matters 43:7</p>
<p>laid 44:17</p>	<p>Locke 8:23</p>	<p>means 44:23</p>
<p>language 59:10 60:5</p>	<p>Lokey 14:17 26:7,24 28:1,3,9,18</p>	<p>memory 40:22 103:12</p>
<p>large 94:8,15,17</p>	<p>54:9,12</p>	<p>mention 30:12,14,17 54:11</p>
<p>larger 16:11 107:7</p>	<p>long 12:16 13:7,10 14:5 47:15 62:5</p>	<p>mentioned 53:6 66:12 75:2</p>
<p>lawyers 22:3 54:19,22</p>	<p>88:11</p>	<p>mentions 30:19 81:10</p>
<p>lead 46:20</p>	<p>longer 40:5 104:13</p>	<p>methodologies 95:13</p>
<p>learned 25:4</p>	<p>looked 14:9 26:3 32:12 48:1 49:25</p>	<p>methodology 69:9,10,14,21 77:1,23</p>
<p>lease 63:4 64:25 67:10,12,21 68:6,</p>	<p>50:10 70:23 76:1 97:6</p>	<p>96:8 97:1,5</p>
<p>10,13,16 100:2</p>	<p>Lord 8:24</p>	<p>Mexico 82:6 97:9,20 98:14</p>
<p>leases 108:2 109:13,25 110:8</p>	<p>lot 24:25 35:2 37:13 56:2 57:7 67:22</p>	<p>MI 8:11</p>
<p>leaving 52:7</p>	<p>88:22</p>	<p>Michael 75:20</p>
<p>left 88:23</p>	<p>lots 98:24</p>	<p>mid 23:21 34:25 35:8,22 36:3,16 38:4</p>
<p>Legacy 103:4</p>	<p>love 16:9</p>	<p>40:16</p>
<p>legal 22:11</p>	<p>low 81:18 82:13,16 83:13</p>	<p>mid-year 55:11,15,17,19,22 56:1,18</p>
<p>lessee 108:18</p>	<p>lower 63:21 64:18 65:1 72:22</p>	<p>migrate 71:24</p>
<p>letter 92:21</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <hr/>	<p>Mike 68:4 81:11 82:14</p>
<p>level 24:17</p>	<p>made 17:3 58:11 61:4 63:5 75:14</p>	<p>million 16:21 79:13,14 100:1,22</p>
<p>levered 65:25</p>	<p>77:5 84:6 89:24</p>	<p>101:9 102:1,7,9,15 103:9,16 104:23</p>
<p>Lexitas 8:16 9:13</p>	<p>magnitude 91:17</p>	<p>107:5,8 109:6,11,23 110:4,19 111:10,</p>
<p>liability 54:5 99:19,22 109:8 110:5</p>	<p>main 24:4</p>	<p>20</p>
		<p>mind 17:16 87:10</p>
		<p>minutes 62:4 92:5 112:10</p>

<p>misleading 61:7 76:24 77:15</p> <p>mixed 37:22 38:8</p> <p>model 31:10 50:16 72:25 93:8 99:13, 24,25 100:9,11,12 101:1</p> <p>modeled 71:20</p> <p>moment 66:23 75:2</p> <p>monitor 8:16</p> <p>month 38:18 40:21 42:16 63:14 89:8</p> <p>months 37:22 38:8</p> <p>morning 52:18</p> <p>multiple 9:6 98:7</p> <p>multitude 98:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <hr/> <p>natural 79:2</p> <p>nature 13:21 15:21 97:20</p> <p>necessarily 64:25</p> <p>needed 50:11 109:18</p> <p>negative 62:22 66:1</p> <p>net 48:8 59:14,19 60:2 62:15 64:6 93:9</p> <p>Netherland 13:5,8 48:6 68:16 98:19</p> <p>newly 45:10</p> <p>news 88:21</p> <p>NGL 19:25</p> <p>night 15:19 26:4</p> <p>non-producing 75:14</p> <p>non-responsive 31:13 83:17</p> <p>nonproducing 89:23</p> <p>Nordlicht 13:14</p> <p>note 16:1,10,23</p> <p>notes 15:14,18,21,25 16:9 23:7 24:20,22 25:1,2 74:18,19 90:12 104:18</p> <p>notice 55:10 57:15,21,22 58:24</p> <p>nuance 51:19</p> <p>number 8:10 9:3 25:19 54:21,22 68:21 73:20 74:11 87:3 91:11 101:17, 22 104:25 109:11</p>	<p>numbers 64:2 100:25</p> <p>numerous 60:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <hr/> <p>oath 9:18 10:4 11:19</p> <p>object 31:13 83:16</p> <p>objecting 80:23</p> <p>objection 9:4 20:22 24:15 25:12,16 29:1 31:1 32:16 35:10 36:5 37:3 41:14 44:6,11 45:13 49:22 50:24 51:9 54:13 56:7 58:19 59:24 61:7 63:15 64:21 65:20 67:8 69:15 70:25 76:7, 15,24 77:17 80:16 85:11 87:12,17 91:15 93:12 97:13 101:20 102:11 105:7,12,22 106:12 107:1,14 109:1 110:1,9,22 111:13,23 112:6</p> <p>objections 9:7</p> <p>objector 9:9</p> <p>obligation 45:19</p> <p>obligations 106:11,24</p> <p>occasion 10:12</p> <p>occasions 10:12</p> <p>offend 38:11</p> <p>offer 30:3 58:5</p> <p>offered 46:1</p> <p>offering 29:15 44:4 59:13</p> <p>offers 59:18</p> <p>office 11:24 12:6</p> <p>offline 83:12,22 84:18,22 85:9,16 100:2 104:5</p> <p>offshore 46:2 47:17 98:21,25</p> <p>oil 19:25 27:14 45:10 46:9 76:1 93:7 97:9</p> <p>one-year 109:18</p> <p>online 70:8 79:6 81:21,24 83:12,23 84:18,21 85:9,16 89:1</p> <p>opened 92:21</p> <p>operate 71:14 86:3</p> <p>operating 63:5 64:25 65:2,7 67:10, 13,21 68:6,10,13,16 84:17,21 85:3,8, 15 86:16 91:12 100:3</p> <p>operation 100:3</p>	<p>operations 47:1,13</p> <p>operators 48:12,15</p> <p>opine 51:7</p> <p>opinion 22:13 27:20 30:20 32:13,17, 21 35:15 36:11,21 41:16 44:4 45:9, 18,23 49:3 54:4 59:13 60:6 64:12,23 66:12 69:3 81:22,25 91:13 98:23 99:3,7 106:4 107:22 108:10</p> <p>opinions 20:10 21:22 24:23 25:6 26:1 27:5,11 28:14,25 29:15,18 30:2, 5,15 31:6,16 32:1,3,6,10,14 33:3 35:25 37:2,9 41:12 46:2 49:7,21 52:12 54:12 58:5,9,18 59:18,22 91:21,23</p> <p>opportunities 74:3 93:20,25 107:8</p> <p>opportunity 67:24 75:10</p> <p>opposed 55:23 101:18 102:19</p> <p>order 51:3 82:7</p> <p>organize 53:9</p> <p>original 28:23</p> <p>outcome 56:9</p> <p>outline 104:19</p> <p>outlined 70:13 73:12,24 74:13 77:1</p> <p>output 20:11,13</p> <p>outputted 70:8</p> <p>overseeing 48:5</p> <p>overstated 27:21 28:1 62:15 63:13</p> <p>overstatement 67:5</p> <p>overstates 28:3</p> <p>overstating 27:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>P.L.C. 8:25</p> <p>p.m. 113:3</p> <p>pages 15:23 41:23</p> <p>pandemic 8:14</p> <p>papers 12:9 17:6 18:2,4,5,7,17,22 20:5,14,15 52:8,17 57:1,9 58:18 73:7, 10 77:10,22</p> <p>paragraph 52:24 59:5,7 60:6,17,21</p> <p>parameter 71:4,7,18</p>
---	--	---

<p>parameters 74:12</p> <p>part 21:23 22:14,16 48:1,3,4,8 52:19 55:5 65:18 100:12 105:24</p> <p>partial 22:14 65:4</p> <p>participants 8:13 9:3</p> <p>parties 9:5</p> <p>parts 98:10</p> <p>pass 112:20</p> <p>past 30:1 80:18 85:24 96:9</p> <p>Paul 8:21 26:12</p> <p>paying 90:22</p> <p>PD 75:25</p> <p>PDNP 16:17,21 17:1 75:17,25 76:4,5</p> <p>PDP 16:17,25</p> <p>PDSI 16:17,25 73:11</p> <p>pending 62:6</p> <p>people 9:6 92:1</p> <p>per-day 27:14</p> <p>percent 84:12 109:7 110:5</p> <p>perfectly 12:20</p> <p>perform 56:4 60:25</p> <p>performance 67:6 95:18</p> <p>period 37:14 79:4 96:4 103:10 109:18 110:18</p> <p>person 24:4 39:21</p> <p>personally 43:10 54:20</p> <p>personnel 105:17</p> <p>phone 23:15 68:4 105:21</p> <p>physically 11:23 39:21</p> <p>piece 31:10 32:18 51:4</p> <p>pieces 50:5</p> <p>pipelines 47:24</p> <p>pipes 79:3</p> <p>place 8:12</p> <p>plan 31:3,8,10,18,22 44:18 45:1,3,4 58:7 64:10 65:5</p> <p>planned 99:13</p> <p>planning 70:8</p>	<p>platform 86:2</p> <p>platforms 47:24 50:11 108:21</p> <p>plenty 88:25</p> <p>plugging 103:3</p> <p>point 28:2 31:9 35:6 62:5 89:19 93:14 97:18</p> <p>pointed 28:14</p> <p>position 13:4 25:18</p> <p>positive 66:18</p> <p>Post-it 16:1,9,10</p> <p>potential 62:23 66:10,17,19 67:4 109:12 110:20 111:2</p> <p>Powerpoint 18:9 35:2</p> <p>practices 96:9 98:11</p> <p>precise 35:25</p> <p>precisely 99:6</p> <p>preliminarily 35:19</p> <p>preliminary 34:14 38:2,19 40:14 41:1,6 53:14</p> <p>preparation 42:11,14 60:10 61:13</p> <p>prepare 14:1 34:6 48:7,16 57:2</p> <p>prepared 14:16,24 15:1 28:20 33:16 34:7 48:21,25 50:21 52:5,15 57:4</p> <p>preparing 19:19 25:5 26:1 27:5,10</p> <p>presentable 35:17</p> <p>presented 54:6 97:7 99:23 100:10,14</p> <p>President 13:6,11</p> <p>pressing 62:9</p> <p>pretty 41:16</p> <p>previously 13:13 45:17,22 46:1,19 57:19 58:1 59:6</p> <p>price 79:15</p> <p>prices 71:13</p> <p>pricing 62:19</p> <p>primarily 53:4</p> <p>prior 37:10 40:12 41:19 44:9 45:3 53:12</p> <p>process 95:17</p> <p>produce 58:3</p>	<p>produced 19:12 34:23 55:4 57:19 58:2</p> <p>producing 57:14 71:20 72:18 86:3,7,12 104:13 105:2 108:13</p> <p>product 18:24,25 57:6</p> <p>production 22:24 27:15,16 28:4 46:8,21 49:13 50:2,4 55:15 57:10 61:19 62:15 63:7,10,12,21 64:6,17,24 65:10 66:25 67:5 68:2 70:4,7,15 71:25 72:22 75:24 78:3,14,18 79:11 81:11,18 82:19,23 83:2 89:4,8,10,11,12,15 90:5 91:2 93:7,9 94:23 95:15 97:9 104:22 108:6,16 109:6 111:9</p> <p>Professional 46:12</p> <p>proffered 43:25</p> <p>profile 19:25</p> <p>profitability 75:23</p> <p>program 45:23 47:13 95:14,18 96:7</p> <p>programs 94:24</p> <p>progress 53:15</p> <p>project 94:22</p> <p>projected 102:15 103:3</p> <p>projecting 103:9</p> <p>projection 78:7,14 83:11 102:19 103:17</p> <p>projections 21:6 66:25 69:6 70:10 79:12 87:23 103:8 106:10</p> <p>projects 46:8 62:23 66:10,17,19 68:22 70:14 73:16,21 74:11 92:17 93:10 94:17,20 95:15 96:1,3 101:11</p> <p>proof 89:23</p> <p>properties 94:8 103:4</p> <p>proposition 86:2</p> <p>proved 71:24 72:4 75:13</p> <p>provide 13:18 22:2,13 48:15</p> <p>provided 13:23 18:6,13,18 19:10,14 21:16 29:8 35:14 37:19 45:6,9 48:12 49:15,16 57:13 67:23 69:17 104:10 108:15,21 112:18</p> <p>providing 62:10</p> <p>public 19:15 50:10</p> <p>publication 35:9</p> <p>pull 17:17</p>
---	--	---

<p>pulled 57:25 92:15</p> <p>pulling 39:17</p> <p>pure 16:8</p> <p>purposes 103:15</p> <p>pursue 76:6</p> <p>put 18:8,25 35:1 41:23 58:24 95:5 99:4 107:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>quantify 94:11 104:9</p> <p>quantity 94:9</p> <p>question 11:9,12,17 14:21 18:16 19:4 20:25 28:12,23 36:20 37:12 52:14 56:13,15 60:23 62:6 70:20 73:22 77:16 83:15,19 84:19 97:14,15 99:1 103:7 106:19 110:11 111:17,18</p> <p>questioner 96:13</p> <p>questioning 8:19</p> <p>questions 24:6,8,10,13,16 25:25 36:25 38:7 50:3 106:2 112:15,22,23, 24</p> <p>quick 14:3 66:15</p> <p>quickly 14:18 50:10</p> <p>quote 52:24 72:8 73:11 75:3 83:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>Raghib 8:15</p> <p>raise 9:17</p> <p>range 81:12 88:13</p> <p>ranges 60:12</p> <p>rate 27:14,16,19,21 82:13 89:7</p> <p>rates 28:4 61:20 70:9 78:3 81:11 82:19,23 83:2,14</p> <p>re-completed 89:1 90:3</p> <p>re-completion 45:23 46:8 47:6,8 66:20 73:15 74:2,7,10 75:15 81:20,23 87:16 88:11 89:7,24 93:9,19,24 94:7, 24 95:14,20 96:6,23 98:3 110:21</p> <p>re-completions 66:13 70:12 74:22, 24 89:16 90:8 95:19 97:6 98:8,9 110:24 111:2</p>	<p>re-read 66:15 93:13 106:17</p> <p>reach 32:13 34:13,16 38:18 49:19 51:16 57:4</p> <p>reached 34:24 35:7 38:2,3 40:13 41:1 48:23</p> <p>reaches 32:13</p> <p>reaching 74:21 82:24</p> <p>read 16:13,22 58:12 59:10 60:14,21, 22 62:25 94:25 95:22 99:16 100:5 107:22 108:7 109:9</p> <p>reading 99:20</p> <p>reads 85:23</p> <p>ready 15:11</p> <p>real 66:15 76:5</p> <p>reasonable 50:17,22 51:1,5,18,21 55:22 87:6</p> <p>reasonableness 48:17,21,24 49:20</p> <p>rebuttal 26:17</p> <p>recalculations 76:11</p> <p>recall 10:16,17,18 23:19,25 24:10 26:14 53:20,21 55:13 56:9,20 68:7 73:17</p> <p>receive 51:2 57:15</p> <p>received 18:24 23:13 26:7,22 37:25 38:21,24 39:12 40:23 51:18</p> <p>receiving 22:19 41:4 52:12</p> <p>recent 50:4</p> <p>recently 108:5</p> <p>recess 92:9 112:13</p> <p>recollection 14:8 24:12</p> <p>record 8:3 10:8 17:22 36:18 39:20 42:24 55:7 76:20 81:6</p> <p>recording 12:10</p> <p>reduced 70:16 91:2,3 110:12,13</p> <p>reduction 80:5</p> <p>refer 15:8 25:2 30:23 58:17 101:10</p> <p>reference 16:15 25:23 28:17 29:3 31:25 32:2,5 33:22 36:10,11 75:12 84:7</p> <p>referenced 14:11 16:19 26:3 27:15 28:21</p>	<p>references 28:9,11 31:10,17 32:7 94:18</p> <p>referencing 30:21 78:22</p> <p>referred 19:18 69:23 95:5</p> <p>referring 26:10 27:1 73:23 76:17 79:23 80:3,11 81:2</p> <p>reflect 20:19 58:8 109:12,24 110:20 111:10,21</p> <p>reflected 17:11 20:10 24:23 25:8 27:23,24 28:5 30:5 32:25 37:9 42:21 63:24 66:11 69:20 87:22</p> <p>reflecting 57:3</p> <p>reflects 29:14 69:9 100:18 107:5</p> <p>refresh 103:12</p> <p>refreshed 14:8</p> <p>regard 65:22 87:7 98:17,23</p> <p>regulation 104:7</p> <p>regulations 109:19</p> <p>regulatory 102:2,4,5 108:1</p> <p>reiterate 92:24</p> <p>relate 58:8,18</p> <p>related 61:19 95:15</p> <p>relates 31:7,22 85:15 87:1,15 102:9 106:4</p> <p>reliability 45:19</p> <p>reliable 56:1</p> <p>reliance 31:7,17 33:11 82:15</p> <p>relied 18:21 25:25 28:25 29:3,4,6 30:18,24 33:10 36:16 50:22 56:10</p> <p>relies 58:4</p> <p>relinquished 104:14,21 108:2,13 109:5,15</p> <p>relinquishment 109:13,25 110:7</p> <p>rely 19:9 25:4 27:9,13,18 28:13 48:11 55:19,22 83:10</p> <p>relying 32:18</p> <p>remaining 9:1 71:23 73:11 109:7 110:5 111:7</p> <p>remember 25:1 68:7 111:18</p> <p>remind 22:21</p> <p>remotely 8:14</p>
--	--	---

<p>removed 70:3</p> <p>rendered 45:17,22</p> <p>rendering 58:5</p> <p>reorganization 45:5</p> <p>repeat 51:11 61:8 84:19 86:10 110:2 111:19</p> <p>repeated 25:19</p> <p>replicated 77:2</p> <p>report 12:12,17,23 14:14,16,18,24 15:2,9 16:16,20 17:5,7,12,15,22 19:19 20:18 21:12 22:23,25 23:3 24:24 25:11 26:4,5,6,8,15,17,20,22, 24,25 27:2,5,10,20,24 28:1,6,10,13, 18,24 29:15,24 30:2,6,12 31:21,25 32:2,24 33:7,16 36:1 37:10,11 41:20 42:14 51:5 52:9,17,23,25 53:19,24 54:11 55:17,19,23 56:2 57:5,6,20 60:4 63:25 64:1 65:3 69:8,20 70:24 72:16,19 73:14 75:12 78:6,21 81:10 82:18 84:4 86:6,11 89:14,19 90:17 94:18 95:1 97:3 99:8,18,20,21 100:18 103:16 104:19 109:4,17</p> <p>reported 41:18 49:10</p> <p>Reporter 9:12,14,16,24</p> <p>reporting 13:25</p> <p>reports 27:19 77:23</p> <p>represent 12:16 70:14 96:6</p> <p>representatives 23:16</p> <p>represented 103:17</p> <p>representing 8:16,19 9:13 22:3</p> <p>request 19:6 55:8 57:18 58:10,13 68:9,12 92:25</p> <p>requested 18:20 55:9 58:14</p> <p>require 102:6 105:3</p> <p>required 60:10 61:13 87:3 102:4 103:17,19,22</p> <p>requirement 101:25 102:19</p> <p>requirements 102:2,5</p> <p>reserve 13:24 16:20 55:12,23 61:19 77:23 112:16,19,21</p> <p>reserves 10:25 16:16 23:3 47:4,10 48:8 50:1,16 51:3,5 60:10,19 61:1,5, 13 69:24,25 70:2,3 72:5,19 73:15 82:17 97:10 98:15,21,25</p>	<p>reservoir 46:20,25 98:1</p> <p>resist 12:8</p> <p>resource 60:11 61:14</p> <p>resources 60:11 106:21</p> <p>respect 101:12</p> <p>responding 59:21</p> <p>response 96:13,15</p> <p>responsibilities 46:23</p> <p>rest 64:8 82:10 84:10</p> <p>restructuring 43:12 46:9</p> <p>results 18:14 21:1,15 94:22 97:23,24</p> <p>retained 21:23 22:1</p> <p>retirement 45:19</p> <p>return 76:2 111:9</p> <p>returning 70:4 104:22 108:6,16 109:6</p> <p>revenue 19:25 48:8 79:13,14</p> <p>review 14:7,23 26:17 48:17 55:17 60:8,18 85:1,4 92:13,18,23 102:22,25</p> <p>reviewed 14:17 15:11 19:14 21:7 27:6 30:20 31:3 32:8 33:25 44:25 45:4 48:4,19,20 49:8,13,18 61:2 104:3</p> <p>reviewing 45:3</p> <p>rights 112:16,19</p> <p>role 47:16</p> <p>rolled 55:15</p> <p>room 11:25 16:3</p> <p>Rule 19:7</p> <p>rules 11:7</p> <p>run 11:8 72:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>safe 11:15 67:3</p> <p>safe-out 100:2</p> <p>sat 102:24</p> <p>satisfaction 24:14</p> <p>satisfy 106:11</p> <p>saved 39:3</p>	<p>savings 81:3,7</p> <p>schedule 99:19,22 100:14,19 101:4, 23 103:24</p> <p>scheduled 74:4,5 100:1,8 101:11</p> <p>scope 22:15 29:21,23 52:9 54:1,2,3, 4,11 60:8 63:9 66:23</p> <p>screen 12:21 17:20 39:18 95:6,9</p> <p>screens 40:5</p> <p>season 82:4 83:3</p> <p>Seeger 68:5</p> <p>select 70:14 75:18</p> <p>selected 74:11,15,17 90:2</p> <p>selection 75:13,22 76:3,5 79:3 89:23</p> <p>sense 36:9 61:23 96:16,19</p> <p>sentence 60:5,16,22 80:4 89:22</p> <p>served 11:4</p> <p>serving 10:21</p> <p>sets 50:20</p> <p>Sewell 13:5,8 48:7 68:16 98:19</p> <p>shape 51:24</p> <p>share 39:24</p> <p>shared 41:24</p> <p>shifted 68:2</p> <p>shoot 82:10</p> <p>short 80:7 91:23 93:9 112:10</p> <p>short-term 104:8</p> <p>shortfalls 86:8,14</p> <p>shortly 41:10 108:17</p> <p>show 12:21 18:1 20:21 28:5,8 32:24 52:11 60:16 65:24 73:7,10 77:22 89:18</p> <p>showcased 50:15</p> <p>showed 85:2</p> <p>shown 108:23</p> <p>shows 49:9 76:22 99:13</p> <p>shut-in 71:24 81:21,24 108:4</p> <p>side 67:7</p> <p>similar 32:7 57:8 97:24</p> <p>sir 64:14 67:2</p>
---	--	--

<p>sit 20:15 33:24 43:3 56:22</p> <p>situation 98:2</p> <p>size 16:10 94:9</p> <p>small 94:16</p> <p>smoothly 11:8</p> <p>softwares 35:3</p> <p>sole 82:15</p> <p>solemnly 9:19</p> <p>sort 38:24 44:4</p> <p>sound 23:22 92:5</p> <p>sounds 23:23 26:21 32:1 91:4</p> <p>source 74:23</p> <p>sources 19:15,24 50:10 69:4 80:14, 20 87:21 88:4 106:5,23 108:25</p> <p>Southern 8:9</p> <p>span 42:16</p> <p>speak 14:5 54:20,23 55:21 93:1,2</p> <p>speaking 85:24</p> <p>specific 24:10 27:9 75:11 93:10 94:20 95:20 103:6 104:4</p> <p>specifically 49:1 57:23 62:18 72:10 73:12 75:19 87:25 88:3 90:25 103:23</p> <p>spend 42:10 102:1,15 103:3,9</p> <p>spending 49:24 87:11 100:2</p> <p>spent 42:19 100:16 101:18,19</p> <p>spoke 23:25 25:19</p> <p>spreadsheet 56:25 85:2</p> <p>spreadsheets 58:17</p> <p>stand 44:20</p> <p>Standard 8:4</p> <p>start 22:20 23:11,15 34:9</p> <p>started 23:8,12 41:4 78:8</p> <p>state 10:7 30:20 33:1 46:13 62:18</p> <p>stated 83:10 84:23</p> <p>statement 29:5 43:13 75:16 97:12, 18 99:15 107:12,25</p> <p>states 8:8 58:1 60:5 99:18</p> <p>statistical 97:11,21 98:20</p> <p>statistics 98:6</p>	<p>status 71:25 104:12</p> <p>stay 87:19</p> <p>step 66:22</p> <p>straight 27:25</p> <p>strip 62:19</p> <p>struck 53:2</p> <p>stub 64:8</p> <p>study 60:19</p> <p>subject 112:19</p> <p>subsequent 80:6</p> <p>subset 109:14</p> <p>substantive 60:7</p> <p>sufficient 62:23 106:21,23</p> <p>suggested 75:21</p> <p>sum 104:23</p> <p>summarize 35:5</p> <p>summarized 19:20,21</p> <p>summary 93:11</p> <p>summing 108:19</p> <p>sums 108:22</p> <p>supplies 12:6</p> <p>support 17:7 58:8</p> <p>supports 17:11 98:23 99:3,7</p> <p>sureties 22:4 54:19</p> <p>surveillance 46:20 47:18</p> <p>Suzanne 9:12</p> <p>swear 9:14,19</p> <p>sworn 10:2</p>	<p>term 44:10 105:1 108:3</p> <p>terminated 104:6,14,21 108:2,14 109:5,16 111:6</p> <p>termination 109:12,24 110:7</p> <p>terms 50:9,13 66:2 110:14</p> <p>testified 13:14 81:11 83:14,20 101:9 102:14,18 106:15,20,23</p> <p>testifies 10:3</p> <p>testify 10:2 11:2</p> <p>testimony 9:20 13:18,21 15:5 36:12, 16,21 38:5 40:12 52:19 53:18 81:13 83:10 93:3 95:4 102:22 106:17 111:2</p> <p>testing 60:8</p> <p>Texas 8:10 11:24 46:13</p> <p>text 42:5</p> <p>thereabouts 35:23 92:8</p> <p>thing 42:15</p> <p>things 15:10 19:16 20:19 43:4 71:15</p> <p>thinking 91:25 95:12</p> <p>third-party 10:25 13:24 50:17</p> <p>thought 35:18 40:22 43:23 60:8 95:16</p> <p>thousand 63:14</p> <p>threshold 71:19 72:9,19 73:8</p> <p>tie 27:15</p> <p>tied 84:18,21</p> <p>time 8:4,5 10:20 35:5,13,15,16 37:14, 16 40:24 42:10 43:5,11,25 44:3 46:7 79:4 88:23,25 112:15,25</p> <p>timed 70:6</p> <p>timely 108:1</p> <p>times 42:25</p> <p>timing 70:17,18,23 95:19</p> <p>today 8:16 9:12 15:12 33:25 81:15 88:22</p> <p>today's 8:3 14:1 57:16</p> <p>told 19:13</p> <p>top 64:1 72:13 84:25 90:10</p> <p>total 49:17 61:1,5</p> <p>tracking 40:9</p>
<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/>		
<p>table 12:3 84:23</p> <p>takes 35:15,16 88:11</p> <p>taking 8:12 40:24 79:5 92:1</p> <p>talk 80:18 90:1</p> <p>talked 52:17 57:1 68:8 91:11</p> <p>talking 30:1 77:9</p> <p>team 22:3 52:11</p>		

<p>transportation 65:8</p> <p>trick 36:13</p> <p>true 76:21</p> <p>trust 90:18,21 91:2,5,10</p> <p>truth 9:21,22 10:2,3 37:8</p> <p>turn 84:3 90:16 91:20 102:21 107:10</p> <p>type 55:25 93:7 94:21</p> <p>types 96:2</p> <p>typical 71:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <hr/> <p>U.S. 13:14</p> <p>Uh-huh 12:18 36:14 62:8 86:1</p> <p>ultimate 90:5</p> <p>ultimately 21:19 56:10</p> <p>un 16:16</p> <p>un-levered 65:25</p> <p>uncertainty 60:12</p> <p>unchanged 16:17</p> <p>underestimated 78:14</p> <p>underlying 32:12 42:6 60:9 61:4,12</p> <p>underneath 16:24 79:17</p> <p>understand 11:12,19 26:19 29:6 31:21 44:15 54:5 59:20 63:10 66:24 82:19 90:18,21 91:1 101:16,21 102:14,18 106:8,15 110:11</p> <p>understanding 18:23 19:2 44:23 59:17 88:10 100:7 102:4,17 107:4,9</p> <p>understood 11:16 19:3 27:16 40:12 43:24 58:13,14 60:18</p> <p>undertake 96:25 97:4</p> <p>undeveloped 72:5</p> <p>unique 74:10 75:3 98:2,3,4,5</p> <p>United 8:8</p> <p>unnecessarily 9:7</p> <p>unreasonable 50:6,23 51:8,25</p> <p>urge 12:8</p> <p>utilizing 62:19 69:21</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <hr/> <p>vague 67:8 80:24 87:13 105:22</p> <p>validate 60:9</p> <p>valuation 26:25</p> <p>values 27:8</p> <p>variance 75:24 85:3</p> <p>variances 85:15</p> <p>verify 48:14</p> <p>versus 13:14 76:2 84:18,22 85:9,16</p> <p>Vice 13:6,10</p> <p>Video 8:6</p> <p>videoconference 8:13</p> <p>visitation 100:3</p> <p>volumes 70:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <hr/> <p>wanted 29:5 72:15</p> <p>web 8:13</p> <p>week 34:18,20 35:21 38:4 40:15 41:11,19 88:16,18</p> <p>weekly 54:23</p> <p>weeks 18:11 41:10 88:17,18</p> <p>Weil 8:21</p> <p>wells 47:21 71:19 72:8,10,18 73:7 79:3,5 83:12,22 84:18,21,22 85:8,9, 16 86:7,12 88:25 90:2 96:1 105:1 108:21</p> <p>Wengton 10:9</p> <p>window 95:4</p> <p>witness's 9:18 10:4</p> <p>witnesses 54:7,8</p> <p>word 53:1,2</p> <p>wording 53:25</p> <p>words 35:24 46:3</p> <p>work 17:6,11 18:2,4,5,6,14,17,22,24, 25 19:17 20:5,15 33:20 34:19 35:2,14 40:24 42:6,13 43:4,7 46:3 47:6,15,20 48:6,19 52:7,8,17 53:4,7,9,10,15 54:1,4 56:1 57:1,6,7,9 58:17 63:9</p>	<p>70:11 73:7,10 77:9,10,22 81:20,23 88:6 90:12 92:20 111:6</p> <p>workbook 85:2</p> <p>worked 22:7 43:12,14 46:19 51:19 68:21 94:14,15,17</p> <p>working 22:20 23:8,11 34:10 47:17</p> <p>workover 65:8</p> <p>works 47:8 92:8</p> <p>worksheets 33:20</p> <p>write 53:23</p> <p>written 54:25</p> <p>wrote 16:14,15 20:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <hr/> <p>y'all 14:5 92:8</p> <p>year 16:21 17:1 44:9 47:18 49:10 50:2 62:21 66:21 79:15,16,19,21 82:8,10 88:23 89:1,25 101:12 102:1 103:7 104:16,20</p> <p>year-end 23:3 50:1 55:12,16,23 56:2,11,18 69:10,22 70:2,5</p> <p>years 10:15 13:25 47:17 68:15 72:4 78:4 79:12 80:6 94:15 96:4 98:18,19 101:7 104:24,25</p> <p>yesterday 14:4</p> <p>yield 62:22</p> <p>younger 92:2</p>
--	---	--